

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

e-filed in the 18th Judicial Circuit Court
DuPage County
ENVELOPE: 31104787
2024CH000308
FILEDATE: 1/23/2025 3:41 PM
Date Submitted: 1/23/2025 3:41 PM
Date Accepted: 1/24/2025 1:11 PM
ER

AARON WEAVER, on behalf of himself,
his minor child, **A.W.**, and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLYMOUTH TUBE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2024CH000308

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DECLARATION OF CASSANDRA P. MILLER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS’
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

I, Cassandra P. Miller, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at Strauss Borrelli PLLC, counsel of record for Plaintiffs Aaron Weaver and his minor child A.W. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration. I am submitting this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

2. The Settlement Agreement is attached to this declaration as **Exhibit 1**.

3. Prior to filing suit, Proposed Settlement Class Counsel conducted extensive investigations into the Security Incident. Proposed Settlement Class Counsel had to understand Defendant Plymouth Tube Company’s (“Defendant”) business and its relationship with its current and former employees. Plaintiffs’ counsel next had to investigate Defendant’s response to the Security Incident and whether it was sufficiently thorough. Plaintiffs’ counsel examined sample data breach notices and related information that Defendant submitted to the various governmental

entities. Plaintiffs' counsel analyzed these notices to determine the extent to which they complied with state mandated notice requirements.

4. Recognizing the benefits of early resolution, the parties began settlement discussions in June 2024. Plaintiffs requested, and Plymouth Tube produced, informal discovery necessary to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of Plaintiffs' claims, including information about the size and scope of the Security Incident and Defendant's response to the Security Incident.

5. Thereafter, the Parties exchanged numerous settlement proposals, and, in the coming months, on or around August 12, 2024, the Parties were able to reach an agreement on all the principal terms of settlement for this matter.

6. Over the following months, Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendant's counsel, both of whom are experienced in Security Incident class actions, conducted multiple rounds of arms' length negotiations before ultimately agreeing on the terms of the Settlement. The Parties did not negotiate attorney's fees or a service award until after they had agreed on benefits for the Settlement class.

7. After reaching an accord on the principal terms of their settlement, the Parties continued negotiating the finer points of the Settlement Agreement, diligently drafting and finalizing the Settlement, Notice, and Claim Forms, and drafting the motion for preliminary approval for presentment to the Court. All negotiations were always collegial, cordial, and professional—but there is no doubt that they were adversarial in nature, with both parties forcefully advocating the position of their respective clients.

8. Subject to Court approval, the Parties have agreed to use CPT Group as the Settlement Administrator.

9. The Parties did not discuss the payment of attorneys' fees, costs, expenses and/or service award with Plaintiffs until after the substantive terms of the Settlement had been agreed upon, other than that Defendant would pay reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and a service award to Class Representative as may be agreed to by Defendant and Class Counsel and as ordered by the Court, or, in the event of no agreement, as ordered by the Court.

10. The Service Award is meant to compensate Plaintiffs for their efforts in this litigation, including maintaining contact with counsel, assisting in the investigation of the case, reviewing pleadings, remaining available for consultation throughout the mediations, answering counsel's many questions, and reviewing the Settlement Agreement.

11. Plaintiffs will seek the Court's approval of the requested attorneys' fees, costs and service awards prior to the Final Fairness Hearing by way of a separate motion.

12. Proposed Settlement Class Counsel is well-qualified to represent the class. Proposed Settlement Class Counsel has extensive experience in consumer class actions generally, data privacy, and cybersecurity incident cases in particular, and are leaders in the field. The Resume of Settlement Class Counsel is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

13. Based on their experience in handling other class action matters, Proposed Settlement Class Counsel believe this Settlement provides fair, reasonable, and adequate relief for the Settlement Class. Proposed Settlement Class Counsel also believe that the benefits of the Parties' settlement far outweigh the delay and considerable risk of attempting proceeding through a motion to dismiss, class certification, summary judgment, and to trial.

14. Settlement Class Counsel advises that their respective Plaintiffs/Class Representative approve of this Settlement.

15. The Settlement provides for several different types of monetary relief that Settlement Class members can elect to participate in.

16. This Settlement proposes significant, effective Class member relief, including; (a) three (3) years of Credit Monitoring Services, (b) up to \$4,500 for documented out-of-pocket losses relating to fraud or identity theft such as professional fees (e.g., attorneys' fees, accountants' fees, or credit repair services fees), incurred as a result of the Security Incident, and (c) for up to four (4) hours of lost time spent dealing with the Security Incident (\$22 per hour).

17. Defendant will pay for the Notice and Administrative Expenses, which will be paid separately from costs associated with providing the Settlement. Notice will be provided directly to individual Settlement Class Members via the address information used to inform individuals of the Security Incident.

18. Class Members will have 90 days from the Notice Deadline to submit their Claim Form to the Settlement Administrator, either by mail or online. The Settlement Administrator will send Notice to the Settlement Class via postcard through First Class U.S. mail U.S. mail using the addresses in Defendant's possession.

19. The timing of the claims process is structured to provide that Settlement Class Members have adequate time to review the terms of the Settlement Agreement, compile documents supporting their claim, and decide whether they would like to opt-out or object.

20. The proposed Notice will include, in a manner that is understandable to potential class members, information regarding: (i) the nature of the action; (ii) the definition of the class certified; (iii) the class claims, issues, or defenses; (iv) that a class member may enter an appearance through an attorney if the member so desires; (v) that the court will exclude from the

class any member who requests exclusion; (vi) the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and (vii) the binding effect of a class judgment on members.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 23rd day of January 2025, at Oak Park, Illinois.

/s/ Cassandra P. Miller
Cassandra P. Miller

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Cassandra P. Miller, hereby certify that on January 23, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to counsel of record, via the ECF system.

DATED this 23rd day of January, 2025.

STRAUSS BORRELLI PLLC

By: /s/ Cassandra P. Miller
Cassandra P. Miller
STRAUSS BORRELLI PLLC
One Magnificent Mile
980 N Michigan Avenue, Suite 1610
Chicago IL, 60611
Telephone: (872) 263-1100
Facsimile: (872) 263-1109
cmiller@straussborrelli.com

— EXHIBIT 1 —

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

AARON WEAVER, on behalf of himself, his
minor child, **A.W.**, and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLYMOUTH TUBE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2024CH000308

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Release (“Settlement Agreement” or “Agreement”) is entered into by and between Aaron Weaver, individually and on behalf of his minor child, A.W. (“Plaintiffs”), and on behalf of the Participating Settlement Class Members (as defined in Paragraph 23), and Plymouth Tube Company (“Defendant” or “Plymouth Tube”) (collectively the “Parties”), in the action *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308 filed on December 2, 2024, in the Circuit Court for the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in DuPage County, Illinois (the “Action”).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2024, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint against Defendant in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois related to a Security Incident affecting Defendant. Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed that claim without prejudice on August 12, 2024;

WHEREAS, on December 2, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their claims against Defendant related to the Security Incident in the in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois;

WHEREAS, Defendant denies the allegations and causes of action pled in the Action and otherwise denies any liability to Plaintiffs in any way; and

WHEREAS, following prolonged and extensive arm’s length negotiations, the Parties reached an agreement of the essential terms of a settlement;

WHEREAS, this Agreement is for settlement purposes only, and nothing in this Agreement shall constitute, be construed as, or be admissible in evidence as any admission of the validity of any claim or fact alleged by Plaintiffs in this Action or in any other pending or subsequently filed action, or of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of Released Parties or admission of the validity or lack thereof of any claim, allegation, or defense asserted in this Action or any other action.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exchange for the mutual promises and valuable consideration provided for in this Agreement, the Parties agree to a full, complete, and final settlement and resolution of the Action and any and all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims), subject to Court approval, on the following terms and conditions:

I. DEFINITIONS

In addition to terms defined elsewhere in this Agreement, the following defined terms shall have the meanings set forth below:

1. “Action” means the case captioned *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308 filed on December 2, 2024, in the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois.

2. “Approved Claim” means the timely submission of a Claim Form by a Participating Settlement Class Member that has been approved by the Settlement Administrator subject to the Claims Review Process.

3. “Defendant’s Counsel” means Devin Haymond and Casie D. Collignon of Baker & Hostetler LLP.

4. “Claim Form” means the form(s) Participating Settlement Class Members must submit to be eligible for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, or Lost Time under the terms of the Settlement, which form is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**, or form(s) approved by the Court substantially similar to **Exhibit C**.

5. “Claims Deadline” means the deadline by which Settlement Class Members must submit valid Claim Form(s), which deadline is ninety (90) days after the Notice Deadline.

6. “Claims Period” means the period of time during which Settlement Class Members may submit Claim Forms, which will end ninety (90) days after the Notice Deadline.

7. “Claims Review Process” means the process for reviewing and determining whether claims are valid as set forth in Paragraph 44.

8. “Court” means the Circuit Court for the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in and for DuPage County, Illinois.

9. “Credit Monitoring Services” means the credit monitoring services described in Paragraph 41(a), which include three (3) years of one-bureau credit monitoring and \$1 million in identity theft protection insurance, among other features.

10. “Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses” means documented expenses and fees incurred or spent as a result of the Security Incident after January 27, 2024, including, without limitations, and by way of example, unreimbursed losses relating to fraud or identity theft;

professional fees (e.g., attorneys' fees, accountants' fees, or credit repair services fees); costs associated with freezing or unfreezing credit with any credit reporting agency; credit monitoring costs; and miscellaneous expenses such as notary, fax, postage, copying, mileage, and long-distance telephone charges.

11. "Effective Date" means one business day following the latest of: (i) the date upon which the time expires for filing or noticing any reconsideration or appeal of the Final Approval Order and Judgment, or entry of the Final Approval Order and Judgment if no person or entity has standing to appeal or seek reconsideration; (ii) if there is an appeal or appeals or reconsideration sought, the date on which the Final Approval Order and Judgment is affirmed without any material modification and is no longer subject to judicial review; or (iii) the date of final dismissal of any appeal or reconsideration or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari with respect to the Final Approval Order and Judgment, and the Final Approval Order and Judgment is no longer subject to judicial review. Notwithstanding the above, any order modifying or reversing any attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses or Service Award to a Class Representative shall not affect the "Effective Date" or any other aspect of the Final Approval Order and Judgment.

12. "Fee Award and Costs" means the amount of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Costs and Expenses awarded by the Court to Settlement Class Counsel in satisfaction of any request or claim for payment of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses in connection with this Action.

13. "Final Approval Order and Judgment" means an order and judgment substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E that the Court enters, which finally approves the Settlement Agreement, certifies the Settlement Class, dismisses the Action with prejudice, and otherwise

satisfies the settlement-related provisions of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure and is consistent with all material provisions of this Agreement.

14. “Final Approval Hearing” means the hearing to be conducted by the Court to determine the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Settlement.

15. “Litigation Costs and Expenses” means costs and expenses incurred by Settlement Class Counsel and their law practices in connection with commencing, prosecuting, and settling the Action.

16. “Lost Time” means time Settlement Class Members spent monitoring accounts or otherwise dealing with issues related to the Security Incident, up to a maximum of four (4) hours at \$22.00 per hour, supported by an attestation that the activities were related to the Security Incident, as set forth in Paragraph 41(c).

17. “Notice” means notice of the proposed class action Settlement to be provided to Settlement Class Members in the manner set forth in this Settlement Agreement, Section IV, substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (“Short Form Notice”) and **Exhibit B** (“Long Form Notice”).

18. “Notice Deadline” means the last day by which Notice must be issued to the Settlement Class Members and will occur no later than forty-five (45) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

19. “Notice and Administrative Expenses” means all of the expenses incurred in the administration of this Settlement, including, without limitation, all expenses or costs associated with providing Notice to the Settlement Class, locating Settlement Class Members, performing National Change of Address search(es) and/or skip tracing for undeliverable notices, processing claims, determining the eligibility of a person to be a Settlement Class Member, and administering,

calculating and distributing payments to Settlement Class Members who submit valid Claim Forms. Notice and Administrative Expenses also includes all reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the Settlement Administrator in administering the terms of this Agreement.

20. “Objection Deadline” is the last day on which a Settlement Class Member may file an objection to the Settlement, which will be sixty (60) days after the Notice Deadline.

21. “Opt-Out” means a Settlement Class Member: (i) who timely submits a properly completed and executed Request for Exclusion; (ii) who does not rescind that Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline; and (iii) as to which there is not a successful challenge to the Request for Exclusion.

22. “Opt-Out Deadline” is the last day on which a Settlement Class Member may submit a Request for Exclusion, which will be sixty (60) days after the Notice Deadline.

23. “Participating Settlement Class Member” means a Settlement Class Member who does not submit a valid Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline, as set forth in Paragraph 53.

24. “Personal Information” shall mean “Personal Identifiable Information” (or “PII”) and “Protected Health Information” (or “PHI”) and includes, but is not limited to, name, date of birth, Social Security number, driver’s license number, health insurance information, subscriber identification numbers, medical diagnoses, and medical treatment information. The term “Personal Information” is not intended here, nor should it be viewed as, having any bearing on the meaning of this term or similar term in any statute or other source of law beyond this Agreement, or how the Parties may use the term in other circumstances.

25. “Preliminary Approval Order” means an order directing issuance of Notice to Settlement Class Members, determining that the Court will likely be able to approve the Settlement

under the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, and determining that the Court will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of resolving this Action. Such order will include the forms and procedure for providing notice to the Settlement Class, including notice of the procedure for Settlement Class Members to object to or opt-out of the Settlement, and set a date for the Final Approval Hearing, substantially in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit D**.

26. “Released Claims” means any and all claims, liabilities, rights, demands, suits, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, penalties, costs, attorneys’ fees, losses, and remedies of every kind or description—whether known or unknown (including Unknown Claims), existing or potential, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, liquidated or unliquidated, legal, statutory, or equitable—that relate to or arise from the Security Incident, the operative facts alleged in the Action, including the complaint and any amendment thereto, Defendant’s information security policies and practices, or Defendant’s maintenance or storage of Personal Information, regardless of whether such claims arise under federal, state and/or local law, statute, ordinance, regulation, common law, or other source of law.

27. “Released Parties” means Defendant and each and every of its respective predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, owners, and related or affiliated entities of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, as well as any and all of Defendant’s and these entities’ respective predecessors, successors, officers, directors, current and former employees (and their dependents), advisors, vendors, stockholders, partners, agents, attorneys, representatives, insurers, reinsurers, subrogees and assigns. Each of the Released Parties may be referred to individually as a “Released Party.”

28. “Releasing Parties” and a “Releasing Party” means the Settlement Class Representatives and Participating Settlement Class Members, and each of their respective heirs,

executors, administrators, representatives, agents, partners, predecessors, successors, attorneys, assigns, and any other person purporting to assert a claim on their behalf.

29. “Request for Exclusion” means a writing by or on behalf of a Settlement Class Member in which he or she requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class in the form and manner provided for in the Notice and as described below in Paragraph 53.

30. “Security Incident” means the cybersecurity incident affecting Defendant which occurred between January 27, 2024, and January 29, 2024.

31. “Service Award Payment” means compensation awarded by the Court and paid to the Settlement Class Representatives in recognition of their role in this Action as set forth in Paragraph 68.

32. “Settlement” means the settlement of the Action by and between the Parties, and the terms thereof as stated in this Settlement Agreement.

33. “Settlement Administrator” means CPT Group subject to Court approval.

34. “Settlement Class” means all living individuals residing in the United States whose Personal Information may have been compromised in the Security Incident experienced by Plymouth Tube in or around January 2024, including all those who received notice of the Security Incident. Defendant represents that the Settlement Class includes up to 4,805 individuals.

35. “Settlement Class Counsel” means Cassandra P. Miller of Strauss Borrelli PLLC.

36. “Settlement Class List” means the list of the names and current or last known mailing address information, to the extent reasonably available, for each Settlement Class Member that Defendant used to inform individuals of the Security Incident. Defendant shall provide this list to the Settlement Administrator within fifteen (15) days of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

37. “Settlement Class Member” means an individual who falls within the definition of the Settlement Class.

38. “Settlement Class Representatives” or “Plaintiffs” means Aaron Weaver and A.W., a minor child, by and through Aaron Weaver.

39. “Settlement Payment” or “Settlement Check” means the payment to be made via mailed check or via electronic means (agreed to by the Parties) to a Participating Settlement Class Member pursuant to the claims process set forth in Paragraph 45.

40. “Settlement Website” means the website the Settlement Administrator will establish and use to provide Settlement Class Members with information about the Settlement and relevant case documents and deadlines, as set forth in Paragraph 51.

II. SETTLEMENT BENEFITS AND REIMBURSEMENT

41. **Class Claims Benefits.** Defendant will pay Approved Claims for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, and Lost Time as described below. Settlement Class Members who submit a valid and timely Claim Form may choose from all applicable claim categories (a) through (c).

- a. **Credit Monitoring Services.** Settlement Class Members shall be offered an opportunity to enroll in three (3) years of one-bureau Credit Monitoring Services provided through CyEx.
- b. **Claims for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses.** Settlement Class Members who submit a valid Claim Form with supporting documentation shall be eligible to receive compensation for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses (as defined in Paragraph 10) of up to \$4,500.00.

- c. **Claims for Compensation for Lost Time.** Settlement Class Members who submit a valid Claim Form, including an attestation, shall be eligible to receive compensation for Lost Time (as defined in Paragraph 16), up to 4 hours at a rate of \$22.00 per hour (for a total of \$88.00) per claimant.

42. **Business Practice Commitments.** Defendant will provide a confidential declaration to Settlement Class Counsel describing its information security enhancements since the Security Incident and estimating, to the extent reasonably calculable, the annual cost of those enhancements. Defendant will pay the cost of such enhancements separate and apart from all other settlement benefits.

III. CLAIMS PROCESS AND PAYMENTS TO PARTICIPATING SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS

43. **Submission of Electronic and Hard Copy Claims.** Settlement Class Members may submit Claim Forms to the Settlement Administrator electronically via the Settlement Website or physically by mail to the Settlement Administrator. Claim Forms must be submitted electronically or postmarked on or before the Claims Deadline. The Settlement Administrator will maintain records of all Claim Forms submitted until the later of: (a) one hundred and eighty (180) days after the Effective Date; or (b) the date all Claim Forms have been fully processed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. Information submitted by Settlement Class Members in connection with Claim Forms shall be deemed confidential and protected as such by the Settlement Administrator, Settlement Class Counsel, and Defendant's Counsel.

44. **Claims Review Process.** The Settlement Administrator shall have the sole discretion and authority to determine whether and to what extent a claim for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, and/or Lost Time is valid.

- a. The Settlement Administrator will verify that each person who submits a Claim Form is a member of the Settlement Class.
- b. The Settlement Administrator will determine that each Claim Form submitted by a Settlement Class Member was submitted during the Claims Period and is timely.
- c. In determining whether claimed Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses and Lost Time are more likely than not caused by the Security Incident, the Settlement Administrator will consider: (i) the timing of the alleged loss and whether it occurred on or after January 27, 2024; (ii) whether the alleged loss involved the types of information for that specific Participating Settlement Class Member that may have been affected in the Security Incident; (iii) the explanation of the Settlement Class Member as to why the alleged loss was caused by the Security Incident; and (iv) any other factors the Settlement Administrator reasonably deems relevant.
- d. The Settlement Administrator is authorized to contact any Settlement Class Member (by email, telephone, or U.S. mail) to seek clarification regarding a submitted claim prior to making a determination as to its validity.
- e. No decision of the Settlement Administrator shall be deemed to constitute a finding, admission, or waiver by Defendant as to any matter of fact, law, or evidence having any collateral effect on any proceedings in any forum or before any authority.
- f. To the extent the Settlement Administrator determines that a timely claim for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses and/or Lost Time by a Settlement Class Member is deficient in whole or in part, the Settlement Administrator shall notify the Settlement Class Member of the deficiencies and provide the Settlement Class member twenty-one (21) days to cure the deficiencies. If the

Settlement Administrator subsequently determines that the Settlement Class Member has not cured the deficiencies, the Settlement Administrator will notify the Settlement Class Member within ten (10) days of that determination. The Settlement Administrator may consult with the Parties in making these determinations.

- g. If a Settlement Class Member receives notice that the Settlement Administrator has determined that the deficiencies it identified have not been cured, the Settlement Class Member may request an appeal in writing, including any supporting documents. The appeal must be submitted within twenty-one (21) days of the Settlement Administrator sending the notice. In the event of an appeal, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Parties with all relevant documentation regarding the appeal. The Parties will confer regarding the appeal. If they agree on a disposition of the appeal, that disposition will be final and non-appealable. If they cannot agree on disposition of the appeal, the dispute will be submitted to the Settlement Administrator for final, non-appealable disposition. In reaching disposition, the Settlement Administrator is authorized to communicate with counsel for the Parties separately or collectively.

45. Payment.

- a. After the Effective Date, and after final determinations have been made with respect to all claims submitted during the Claims Period pursuant to the Claims Review Process, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Parties an accounting of all Approved Claims for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses and/or Lost Time and also provide funding instructions and a properly completed and duly executed IRS Form W-9, along with any other necessary forms, to Defendant. Within forty-five (45) days of receiving this accounting, Defendant or its

representative shall transmit the funds needed to pay Approved Claims for Credit Monitoring Services, Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, and/or Lost Time in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

- b. Payments issued by the Settlement Administrator for Approved Claims for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses and/or Lost Time shall be issued in the form of a check, or via electronic means (through means agreed to by the Parties) and sent as soon as practicable after the Settlement Administrator receives the funds described in Paragraph 45(a).
- c. All Settlement Class Members who fail to submit a valid Claim Form for any benefits under this Agreement within the time frames set forth herein, or such other period as may be ordered by the Court, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments or benefits pursuant to the Settlement, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of this Agreement, including but not limited to the releases contained herein, and the Final Approval Order and Judgment.

46. **Timing.** Settlement Checks shall bear the legend that they expire if not negotiated within ninety (90) days of their issue date.

47. **Returned Checks.** For any Settlement Check returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall make reasonable efforts to locate a valid address and resend the Settlement Payment within thirty (30) days after the check is returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable. In attempting to locate a valid address, the Settlement Administrator is authorized to send an email and/or place a telephone call to that Participating Settlement Class Member to obtain updated address information. Any replacement Settlement Checks issued to Participating Settlement Class Members shall remain valid and

negotiable for sixty (60) days from the date of their issuance and may thereafter automatically be canceled if not cashed by the Participating Settlement Class Members within that time.

48. **Voided Checks.** In the event a Settlement Check becomes void, the Participating Settlement Class Member to whom that Settlement Check was made payable will forfeit the right to payment and will not be entitled to payment under the Settlement, and the Agreement will in all other respects be fully enforceable against the Participating Settlement Class Member. No later than one hundred and twenty (120) days after the issuance of the last Settlement Check, the Settlement Administrator shall take all steps necessary to stop payment on any Settlement Checks that remain uncashed.

IV. SETTLEMENT CLASS NOTICE

49. **Timing of Notice.** Within fifteen (15) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant shall provide the Settlement Class List to the Settlement Administrator. Within forty-five (45) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Administrator shall disseminate the Short Form Notice to Settlement Class Members for whom it has a valid mailing address. The Settlement Administrator shall make the Long Form Notice and Claim Form available to Settlement Class Members on the Settlement Website.

50. **Form of Notice.** Notice shall be disseminated via postcard through First Class U.S. mail to Settlement Class Members on the Settlement Class List. Notice shall also be provided on the Settlement Website. The Notice mailed to Settlement Class Members will consist of a Short Form Notice in a form substantially similar to that attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The Settlement Administrator shall have discretion to format the Short Form Notice in a reasonable manner to minimize mailing and administrative costs. Before Notices are mailed, Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel shall first be provided with a proof copy (reflecting what the items will

look like in their final form) and shall have the right to inspect the same for compliance with the Settlement Agreement and any orders of the Court. For Notices sent via postcard that are returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall use reasonable efforts (e.g., skip trace) to identify an updated mailing address and resend the postcard notice if an updated mailing address is identified. In addition, the Long Form Notice and Claim Form approved by the Court may be adjusted by the Settlement Administrator in consultation and agreement with the Parties, as may be reasonable and necessary and consistent with such Court approval.

51. **Settlement Website.** The Settlement Administrator will establish the Settlement Website as soon as practicable following entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, but prior to dissemination of the Notice. The Settlement Website shall contain relevant documents, including, but not limited to, the Long Form Notice, the Claim Form, this Agreement, Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement, the Preliminary Approval Order, Plaintiffs' motion for an award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and service awards, and the operative complaint in the Action. The Settlement Website shall also include a toll-free telephone number, email address, and mailing address through which Settlement Class Members may contact the Settlement Administrator directly. The Settlement Website shall not include any advertising and shall remain operational until at least sixty (60) days after all Settlement Payments have been distributed.

52. **Cost of Notice and Administration.** Defendant will pay for the Notice and Administrative Expenses, which will be paid separately from payments and/or costs associated with providing the Settlement benefits in Paragraphs 41-42, as invoiced. The Settlement Administrator shall provide wiring instructions and a properly completed and duly executed IRS Form W-9, along with any other necessary forms, to Defendant within ten (10) days of the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

V. OPT-OUTS AND OBJECTIONS

53. **Opt-Outs.** The Notice shall explain the procedure for Settlement Class Members to exclude themselves or “opt-out” of the Settlement by submitting a Request for Exclusion to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than sixty (60) days after the Notice Deadline. The Notice also must state that any Settlement Class Member who does not file a timely Request for Exclusion in accordance with this paragraph will lose the opportunity to exclude himself or herself from the Settlement and will be bound by the Settlement.

- a. The Request for Exclusion must include the name of the proceeding, the individual’s full name, current address, personal signature, and the words “Request for Exclusion” or a comparable statement that the individual does not wish to participate in the Settlement.
- b. No person shall purport to exercise any exclusion rights of any other person, or purport: (a) to opt-out Settlement Class Members as a group, in the aggregate, or as a class; or (b) to opt-out more than one Settlement Class Member on a single Request for Exclusion, or as an agent or representative. Any such purported Request(s) for Exclusion shall be void, and the Settlement Class Member(s) who is or are the subject of such purported Request(s) for Exclusion shall be treated as a Participating Settlement Class Member and be bound by this Settlement Agreement, including the Release contained herein, and judgment entered thereon, unless he or she submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion.
- c. Within seven (7) days after the Opt-Out Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Parties with a complete and final list of all Opt-Outs.

- d. All persons who Opt-Out shall not receive any benefits or be bound by the terms of this Agreement.

54. **Objections.** The Notice shall explain the procedure for Settlement Class Members to object to the Settlement or the request for attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses by filing written objections with the Court no later than the Objection Deadline. The written objection must include: (i) the name of the proceedings; (ii) the Settlement Class Member's full name, current mailing address, and phone number; (iii) a statement that states with specificity the grounds for the objection, as well as any documents supporting the objection; (iv) the identity of any attorneys representing the objector; (v) a statement regarding whether the Settlement Class Member (or his/her attorney) intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (vi) the signature of the Settlement Class Member or the Settlement Class Member's attorney; and (vii) a list, by case name, court, and docket number, of all other cases in which the objector and/or the objector's counsel has filed an objection to any proposed class action settlement within the last three years. The Settlement Class Member shall also send a copy of the written objection to the Settlement Administrator postmarked or emailed no later than the Objection Deadline. Any Settlement Class Member who does not file a timely and adequate objection in accordance with this paragraph waives the right to object or to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing and shall be forever barred from making any objection to the Settlement and shall be bound by the terms of the Agreement and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action. The exclusive means for any challenge to the Agreement shall be through the provisions of this paragraph. Within seven (7) days after the Objection Deadline, the Claims Administrator shall provide the Parties with all objections submitted.

VI. DUTIES OF THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

55. **Duties of Settlement Administrator.** The Settlement Administrator shall perform the functions and duties necessary to effectuate the Settlement and as specified in this Agreement, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Obtaining the Settlement Class List for the purpose of disseminating Notice to Settlement Class Members;
- b. Causing the Notice Program to be effectuated in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement and orders of the Court;
- c. Performing National Change of Address searches on the Settlement Class List and/or skip tracing on undeliverable notices;
- d. Providing Notice to Settlement Class Members via U.S. mail;
- e. Establishing and maintaining the Settlement Website;
- f. Establishing and maintaining a toll-free telephone line with interactive voice response for Settlement Class Members to call with Settlement-related inquiries, and answering the questions of Settlement Class Members who call with or otherwise communicate such inquiries in a timely fashion;
- g. Responding to any mailed or emailed Settlement Class Member inquiries in a timely fashion;
- h. Reviewing, determining the validity of, and processing all claims submitted consistent with the terms of this Agreement;
- i. Receiving and reviewing Requests for Exclusion and objections from Settlement Class Members. If the Settlement Administrator receives any Requests for Exclusion, objections, or other requests from Settlement Class Members after the

deadlines set forth herein, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly provide copies thereof to Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel;

- j. Working with the provider of Credit Monitoring Services to receive and send activation codes to Settlement Class Members who submitted valid claims for Credit Monitoring Services after the Effective Date;
- k. After the Effective Date, processing and transmitting Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members;
- l. Providing weekly or other periodic reports to Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel that include information regarding claims, objections, Opt-Outs, and other data agreed to between Settlement Class Counsel, Defendant's Counsel and the Settlement Administrator;
- m. In advance of the Final Approval Hearing, preparing an affidavit to submit to the Court that: (i) attests to implementation of Notice in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; and (ii) identifies each Settlement Class Member who timely and properly submitted a Request for Exclusion; and
- n. Performing any function related to settlement administration as provided for in this Agreement or agreed-upon among Settlement Class Counsel, Defendant's Counsel, and the Settlement Administrator.

VII. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL, FINAL APPROVAL, AND JURISDICTION

56. **Certification of the Settlement Class.** For purposes of this Settlement only, and in the context of this Agreement only, the Parties stipulate to the certification of the Settlement Class, which is contingent upon the Court entering the Final Approval Order and Judgment of this Settlement and the occurrence of the Effective Date. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i)

Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, successors or assigns, or any entity in which Plymouth Tube has a controlling interest;; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to this case and their staff and immediate family; and (iv) any other person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Security Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge. Should: (1) the Settlement not receive final approval from the Court; (2) the Effective Date not occur; or (3) the Agreement is otherwise terminated, the certification of the Settlement Class shall be void, and neither the Agreement nor any order or other action relating to the agreement shall be offered by any person as evidence or cited in support of a motion to certify a class for any purpose other than this Settlement. Defendant reserves the right to contest class certification for all other purposes. The Parties further stipulate to designate the Settlement Class Representatives as the representatives for the Settlement Class.

57. **Preliminary Approval.** Following execution of this Agreement, Settlement Class Counsel shall file a motion for preliminary approval of this Settlement with the Court. Settlement Class Counsel shall provide Defendant's counsel with a draft of the motion for preliminary approval within a reasonable time frame prior to filing same to ensure that any requested revisions from Defendant are addressed. The proposed Preliminary Approval Order shall be in the form attached as **Exhibit D**.

58. **Final Approval.** Settlement Class Counsel shall move the Court for a Final Approval Order and Judgment of this Settlement, to be issued following the Final Approval Hearing, substantially in the form set forth in **Exhibit E**. Counsel for the Parties shall request that the Court set a date for the Final Approval Hearing no earlier than one hundred and twenty (120)

days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. Settlement Class Counsel shall provide Defendant's counsel with a draft of the motion for final approval within a reasonable time frame prior to filing same to ensure that any requested revisions from Defendant are addressed.

59. **Jurisdiction.** The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Agreement, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding or dispute between the Parties arising out of or relating to this Agreement that cannot be resolved by negotiation and agreement by counsel for the Parties. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the administration, consummation, and enforcement of the Agreement and shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing all terms of the Agreement. The Court shall also retain jurisdiction over all questions and/or disputes related to the Notice and the Settlement Administrator. As part of its agreement to render services in connection with this Settlement, the Settlement Administrator consents to the jurisdiction of the Court for this purpose and any dispute between or among the Settlement Administrator, Plaintiffs, and/or Defendant.

VIII. MODIFICATION AND TERMINATION

60. **Modification.** The terms and provisions of this Agreement may be amended, modified, or expanded by written agreement of the Parties and approval of the Court; provided, however, that, after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Parties may, by written agreement, effect such amendments, modifications, or expansions of this Agreement and its implementing documents (including all exhibits hereto) without further notice to the Settlement Class or approval by the Court if such changes are consistent with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order and do not materially alter, reduce, or limit the rights of Settlement Class Members or Defendant under this Agreement.

61. **Termination.** Settlement Class Counsel (on behalf of the Settlement Class Members) and Defendant shall have the right to terminate this Agreement by providing written notice of their or its election to do so (“Termination Notice”): (1) within fourteen (14) days of the Court’s refusal to grant preliminary approval of the Settlement in any material respect; (2) the Court’s refusal to enter the Final Approval Order and Judgment in any material respect; or (3) the date the Final Approval Order and Judgment is modified or reversed in any material respect by any appellate or other court. Defendant may also unilaterally terminate this Settlement Agreement within fourteen (14) days written notice to Settlement Class Counsel if more than 25 Settlement Class Members submit valid Requests for Exclusion.

62. **Effect of Termination.** In the event of a termination as provided in Paragraph 61, this Agreement shall be considered null and void, all of the Parties’ obligations under the Agreement shall cease to be of any force and effect, and the Parties shall return to the status quo ante in the Action as if the Parties had not entered into this Agreement. In addition, in the event of such a termination, all of the Parties’ respective pre-Settlement claims and defenses will be preserved. Any Court orders preliminarily or finally approving certification of the Settlement Class, and any other orders entered pursuant to the Agreement shall be deemed null and void and vacated.

IX. RELEASES

63. **The Release.** Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of the Settlement benefits described herein, each Releasing Party shall be deemed to have completely and unconditionally released, acquitted, and forever discharged Defendant and each of the Released Parties from any and all Released Claims, including Unknown Claims.

64. **Unknown Claims.** The Released Claims include the release of Unknown Claims. “Unknown Claims” means claims that could have been raised in the Action and claims Releasing Parties do not know or suspect to exist, which, if known by him, her or it, might affect his, her or its agreement to release the Released Parties or the Released Claims or might affect his, her or its decision to agree, object or not to object to the Settlement. Upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and shall have, expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Upon the Effective Date, each Releasing Party shall be deemed to have, and shall have, waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state, the District of Columbia or territory of the United States, by federal law, or principle of common law, or the law of any jurisdiction outside of the United States, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Releasing Parties acknowledge that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those that they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims or relation of the Released Parties thereto, but that it is their intention to finally and forever settle and release the Released Claims, including but not limited to any Unknown Claims they may have, as that term is defined in this paragraph. The Parties acknowledge, and the Releasing Parties shall be deemed by operation of the Agreement to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver is a material term of the Agreement.

65. **Bar to Future Suits.** Upon entry of the Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement Class Representatives and all Participating Settlement Class Members shall be enjoined from initiating, asserting, or prosecuting any and all Released Claims, including Unknown Claims, in any proceeding against any of the Released Parties or based on any actions taken by any of the Released Parties that are authorized or required by this Agreement or by the Final Approval Order and Judgment. It is further agreed that the Settlement may be pleaded as a complete defense to any proceeding subject to this Section.

X. SERVICE AWARD PAYMENTS

66. **Service Award Payments.** At least fourteen (14) days before the Opt-Out and Objection Deadlines, Settlement Class Counsel will file a motion seeking a service award payment for the Settlement Class Representatives in recognition for their contributions to this Action. Defendant agrees not to oppose Settlement Class Counsel's request for a service award not to exceed Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$5,000.00) total. To the extent more than \$5,000.00 in service awards is sought for the Settlement Class Representatives, Defendant reserves all rights to object and oppose such a request. Defendant shall pay the Court-approved service award to an account established by Settlement Class Counsel within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. Settlement Class Counsel will ensure payment instructions are provided through secure processes. Settlement Class Counsel will then distribute the service award. Defendant's obligations with respect to the Court-approved service award shall be fully satisfied upon transmission of the funds into the account established by Settlement Class Counsel. Defendant shall have no responsibility for, interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to any distribution or allocation of service awards. Nor shall Defendant be responsible for any tax obligations or payments associated with the amount paid into the account established by Settlement Class Counsel. To the extent the

Effective Date does not occur, Defendant shall have no obligation to pay any service awards. This amount was negotiated after the primary terms of the settlement were negotiated.

67. **No Effect on Agreement.** The finality or effectiveness of the Settlement, including the Final Approval Order and Judgement, shall not depend on the amount or timing of service awards approved and awarded by the Court or any appeal thereof. The amount and timing of service awards is intended to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement. No decision by the Court, or modification or reversal or appeal of any decision by the Court, concerning the amount of the service awards shall constitute grounds for termination of this Agreement.

XI. ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES

68. **Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Expenses.** At least fourteen (14) days before the Opt-Out and Objection Deadlines, Settlement Class Counsel will file a motion for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation costs and expenses, as well as Service Awards, to be paid by Defendant. Defendant agrees not to oppose Settlement Class Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses not to exceed One Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$160,000.00). If Settlement Class Counsel seeks more than \$160,000.00 in attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, Defendant reserves all rights to object and oppose such requests. Defendant shall pay the Court-approved attorneys' fees and expenses to an account established by Settlement Class Counsel within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. Settlement Class Counsel will ensure payment instructions are provided through secure processes. The attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses will be allocated by Settlement Class Counsel. Defendant's obligations with respect to the Court-approved attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses shall be fully satisfied upon transmission of the funds into the account established by Settlement

Class Counsel. Defendant shall have no responsibility for, interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to any distribution or allocation of attorneys' fees or Litigation Costs and Expenses. Nor shall Defendant be responsible for any tax obligations or payments associated with the amount paid into the account established by Settlement Class Counsel. To the extent the Effective Date does not occur, Defendant shall have no obligation to pay any attorneys' fees or Litigation Costs and Expenses. The amount of attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses was negotiated after the primary terms of the Settlement were negotiated.

69. **No Effect on Agreement.** The finality or effectiveness of the Parties' Settlement shall not depend on the amount or timing of attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses approved and awarded by the Court or any appeal thereof. The amount and timing of attorneys' fees and Litigation Costs and Expenses are intended to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement. No decision by the Court, or modification or reversal or appeal of any decision by the Court, concerning the amount or timing of attorneys' fees or Litigation Costs and Expenses shall constitute grounds for termination of this Agreement.

XII. NO ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

70. **No Admission of Liability.** The Parties understand and acknowledge that this Agreement constitutes a compromise and settlement of disputed claims. No action taken by the Parties either previously or in connection with the negotiations or proceedings connected with this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to be an admission of the truth or falsity of any claims or defenses heretofore made or that could have been made, or an acknowledgment or admission by any party of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing of any kind whatsoever.

71. **No Use of Agreement.** Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement: (i) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any claim made by Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member, including any Settlement Class Member who opts out of the Settlement; or (ii) is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as, an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission by the Released Parties in the Action, or any Settlement Class Member who opts out of the Settlement, or in any proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal.

XIII. MISCELLANEOUS

72. **Integration of Exhibits.** The exhibits to this Agreement and any exhibits thereto are a material part of the Settlement and are incorporated and made a part of the Agreement.

73. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement, including all exhibits hereto, shall constitute the entire Agreement among the Parties with regard to the subject matter hereof and shall supersede any previous agreements, representations, communications and understandings among the Parties, including counsel for the Parties. This Agreement may not be changed, modified, or amended except in writing signed by all Parties or their successors in interest. The Parties contemplate that, subject to Court approval or without such approval where legally permissible and consistent with any orders of the Court in this proceeding, the exhibits to this Agreement may be modified by subsequent Agreement of counsel for the Parties prior to dissemination of the Notice to the Settlement Class.

74. **Resolution.** The Parties intend this Agreement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the Action. The Parties each agree that the Settlement

and this Agreement were negotiated in good faith and at arm's-length and reflects a Settlement reached voluntarily after consultation with legal counsel of their choice.

75. **Deadlines.** If any of the dates or deadlines specified herein falls on a weekend or legal holiday, the applicable date or deadline shall fall on the next business day. All reference to "days" in this agreement shall refer to calendar days unless otherwise specified.

76. **Singular and Plurals.** As used in this Agreement, all references to the plural shall also mean the singular and to the singular shall also mean the plural whenever the context so indicates and reasonably dictates.

77. **Headings.** The headings contained in this Agreement are for reference purposes only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

78. **Construction.** For the purpose of construing or interpreting this Agreement, this Agreement is to be deemed to have been drafted equally by all Parties and shall not be construed strictly for or against any Party.

79. **Cooperation of Parties.** The Parties to this Agreement agree to cooperate in good faith to effectuate the Settlement described in this Agreement.

80. **Obligation to Meet and Confer.** Before filing any motion in the Court raising a dispute arising out of or related to this Agreement between the Parties, the Parties shall consult with each other and certify to the Court that they have consulted in good faith.

81. **No Conflict Intended.** Any inconsistency between the headings used in this Agreement and the text of the paragraphs of this Agreement shall be resolved in favor of the text.

82. **Governing Law.** The Agreement shall be construed in accordance with, and be governed by, the laws of the State of Illinois, without regard to choice of law principles.

83. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument, even though all signatories do not sign the same counterparts. Original signatures are not required. Any signature submitted electronically, by facsimile, or through email of an Adobe PDF shall be deemed an original.

84. **Notices.** All notices to Settlement Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant provided for herein, shall be sent by email to:

Cassandra P. Miller
STRAUSS BORRELLI PLLC
980 N Michigan Ave, Suite 1610
Chicago, IL 60611
cmiller@straussborrelli.com

All notices to Defendant provided for herein, shall be sent by email to:

Casie D. Collignon
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
1801 California Street, Suite 4400
Denver, CO 80202
ccollignon@bakerlaw.com


The notice recipients and addresses designated above may be changed by written notice to the other Party.

85. **Authority.** Any person executing this Agreement in a representative capacity represents and warrants that he or she is fully authorized to do so and authorized to bind the Party on whose behalf he, she or they sign this Agreement to all of the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

[SIGNATURES TO FOLLOW. REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

SIGNATURES


Plaintiff Aaron Weaver:



Aaron Weaver

Date: 12 / 27 / 2024

Plaintiff A.W. (a minor)



A.W. (by and through Aaron Weaver)


Date: 12 / 27 / 2024

Plymouth Tube Company:

By: _____

Date: _____

Approved as to form by:



By: Cassandra P. Miller
Strauss Borrelli PLLC
Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class

Date: 01/05/2025

By: Casie D. Collignon
Baker & Hostetler LLP
Counsel for Defendant

Date: _____

SIGNATURES

Plaintiff Aaron Weaver:

Aaron Weaver


Date: _____

Plaintiff A.W. (a minor)

A.W. (by and through Aaron Weaver)

Date: _____

Plymouth Tube Company:



By: Jim Japczyk, CFO

Date: January 2, 2025

Approved as to form by:

By: Cassandra P. Miller
Strauss Borrelli PLLC
Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class

Date: _____



By: Casie D. Collignon
Baker & Hostetler LLP
Counsel for Defendant

Date: 1/2/2025

— EXHIBIT A —

**A proposed Settlement has been reached in a class action Action known as
Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company, Case No. 2024CH000308, in the
Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois (“Action”).**

What is this about? The Action alleges that between January 27, 2024 and January 29, 2024, Plymouth Tube Company (“Plymouth Tube”) experienced an attack by cybercriminals. After an investigation, Plymouth Tube became aware that cybercriminals may have gained access to and acquired copies of certain files from portions of its network which may have contained the personal identifiable information (“PII”) and protected health information (“PHI”) of its current and former employees and their dependents, including dates of birth, Social Security numbers, driver’s license numbers, health insurance information, subscriber identification numbers, diagnoses, and medical treatment information (the “Security Incident”). Plymouth Tube maintains that it had meritorious defenses, and it was prepared to vigorously defend the Action. The settlement is not an admission of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing or an indication that Plymouth Tube has violated any laws, but rather the resolution of disputed claims.

Who is a Settlement Class Member? You are a Settlement Class Member if Plymouth Tube identified you as being among those individuals potentially impacted by the Security Incident, including all who were sent a notice of the Security Incident.

What are the benefits? The Settlement provides the following benefits:

- **Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses:** Up to \$4,500.00 for documented out-of-pocket expenses and fees for bank fees, telephone charges, credit reports, credit monitoring, or other identity theft insurance products, incurred or spent as a result of the Security Incident after January 27, 2024.
- **Lost Time Reimbursement:** Reimbursement for up to four (4) hours of lost time spent dealing with the Security Incident calculated at the rate of \$22 per hour (for a total of \$88.00).
- **Credit Monitoring:** Three (3) years of Credit Monitoring Services to include credit monitoring through one national reporting bureau provided through with at least \$1,000,000.00 in identity theft insurance.

Remedial Relief: Plymouth Tube will also continue security changes made in response to the Security Incident and the Action to protect its employees’ PII and PHI. You must file a claim by mail postmarked by [INSERT DATE] or online at [INSERT WEBSITE] by [INSERT DATE] to receive benefits from the Settlement.

What are my other rights?

- **Do Nothing:** If you do nothing, you remain in the Settlement. You give up your rights to sue but you will not get any money; you must submit a claim to get money.
- **Exclude yourself:** You can get out of the Settlement and keep your right to sue about the claims in this Action, but you will not get any money from the Settlement. You must exclude yourself by [INSERT DATE].
- **Object:** You can stay in the Settlement, but tell the Court why you think the Settlement should not be approved. Objections must be submitted by [INSERT DATE]. Detailed instructions on how to file a claim, get additional credit monitoring, exclude yourself, or object are on the Settlement Website at [INSERT WEBSITE]. The Court will hold the Final Approval Hearing at [INSERT] to consider whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, to consider an award of combined attorneys’ fees and costs of \$160,000.00 and request the Class Representatives’ service awards of \$5,000.00, and to consider whether and if it should be approved. You may attend the hearing, but you don’t have to. This is only a summary. For additional information, including a copy of the Settlement Agreement, Long Form Notice, Claim Form, Class Counsel’s Application

for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, and other documents, visit [INSERT WEBSITE] or call [INSERT PHONE #].

— EXHIBIT B —

NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

If Plymouth Tube Company (“Plymouth Tube”) Notified You of a Security Incident, You May Be Eligible for Benefits From A Class Action Settlement.

This is not a solicitation from a lawyer, junk mail, or an advertisement. A court authorized this Notice.

This Notice summarizes the proposed Settlement reached in a lawsuit entitled *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company* (Case No. 2024CH000308 filed on December 2, 2024, in the Circuit Court for the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in and for DuPage County, Illinois. (the “Action”). For the precise terms and conditions of the Settlement, please see the Settlement Agreement available at **[insert website]** or by contacting the Settlement Administrator at **1-xxx-xxx-xxxx**.

PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT OR THE COURT CLERK’S OFFICE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THIS SETTLEMENT OR THE CLAIM PROCESS.

This Notice explains the nature of the Action and claims being settled, your legal rights, and the benefits to the Settlement Class.

This notice may affect your rights – please read it carefully.

- The Action alleges that between January 27, 2024 and January 29, 2024, Plymouth Tube Company (“Plymouth Tube”) experienced an attack by cybercriminals. After an investigation, Plymouth Tube became aware that cybercriminals may have gained access to and acquired copies of certain files from portions of its network which may have contained personal identifiable information (“PII”) and protected health information (“PHI”) including names, dates of birth, driver’s license numbers, Social Security numbers, health insurance information, medical reference numbers, Medicare numbers, and or medical diagnosis and/or treatment information (the “Security Incident”). Plymouth Tube maintains that it had meritorious defenses, and it was prepared to vigorously defend the Action. The settlement is not an admission of any fault, liability, or wrongdoing or an indication that Plymouth Tube has violated any laws, but rather the resolution of disputed claims.
- If you received this Notice, you have been identified as a Settlement Class Member. More specifically, you are a Settlement Class Member because you have been identified by the Settlement Administrator as an individual who received notice from Plymouth Tube regarding information that was potentially compromised in the Security Incident.
- All Settlement Class Members can receive the following benefits from the Settlement: (1) reimbursement for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses such as fees for credit reports, credit monitoring, or other identity theft insurance products, (2) reimbursement for up to four (4) hours of lost time spent dealing with the Security Incident calculated at the rate of \$22 per hour (for a total of \$88.00), and (3) Settlement Class Members can elect to enroll in three (3) years of credit monitoring services to include credit monitoring through one national reporting bureau provided through CyEx with at least \$1,000,000.00 in identity theft insurance.

The deadline to submit a claim is [insert date].

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS & OPTIONS IN THIS SETTLEMENT	
Submit a Claim	You must submit a valid Claim to get money from this Settlement. Claim Forms must be submitted online by , 2025 or, if mailed, postmarked no later than , 2025.
Do Nothing	If you do nothing, you remain in the Settlement. You give up your rights to sue and you will not get any money or credit monitoring.
Exclude Yourself	Get out of the Settlement. Get no money. Keep your rights. This is the only option that allows you to keep your right to sue about the claims in this Action. You will not get any money or credit monitoring from the Settlement. Your request to exclude yourself must be postmarked no later than , 2025.
File an Objection	Stay in the Settlement, but tell the Court why you think the Settlement should not be approved. Objections must be postmarked no later than , 2025.
Go to a Hearing	You can ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the Settlement, at your own expense. <i>See</i> Question 18 for more details. The Final Approval Hearing is scheduled for , 2025 at TIME, DATE, LOCATION.

WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS

Basic Information..... Pages 4-5

1. How do I know if I am affected by the Action and Settlement?
2. What is this Action about?
3. Why is there a Settlement?
4. Why is this a class action?
5. How do I know if I am included in the Settlement?

The Settlement Benefits..... Pages 5-6

6. What does this Settlement provide?
7. How to submit a Claim?
8. What am I giving up as part of the Settlement?
9. Will the Class Representatives receive compensation?

Exclude Yourself..... Page 7-8

10. How do I exclude myself from the Settlement?
11. If I do not exclude myself, can I sue later?
12. What happens if I do nothing at all?

The Lawyers Representing You Page 8
13. Do I have a lawyer in the case?
14. How will the lawyers be paid?

Objecting to the Settlement..... Page 8-10
15. How do I tell the Court that I do not like the Settlement?
16. What is the difference between objecting and asking to be excluded?

The Final Approval Hearing..... Page 10-11
17. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?
18. Do I have to come to the hearing?
19. May I speak at the hearing?

Do Nothing..... Page 11
20. What happens if I do nothing?

Get More Information Page 11
21. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

BASIC INFORMATION

1. How do I know if I am affected by the Action and Settlement?

You are a Settlement Class Member if Plymouth Tube identified you as being among those individuals potentially impacted by the Security Incident, including all who were sent a notice of the Security Incident.

The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) Plymouth Tube, its officers, directors, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, successors or assigns, or any entity in which Plymouth Tube has a controlling interest; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to this case and their staff and immediate family; and (iv) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity associated with the Security Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge.

This Notice explains the nature of the Action and claims being settled, your legal rights, and the benefits to the Settlement Class.

2. What is this Action about?

This case is known as *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308 filed on December 2, 2024, in the Circuit Court for the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in and for DuPage County, Illinois. The person who sued is called the “Plaintiff” and the company they sued, Plymouth Tube, is known as the “Defendant” in this case. Plymouth Tube will be called “Defendant” in this Notice.

Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit against Defendant, individually, and on behalf of anyone whose personally identifiable information (“PII”) or protected health information (“PHI”) was potentially impacted as a result of the Security Incident.

This Action arises out of unauthorized access to Defendant’s systems and certain files potentially containing sensitive information about Plymouth Tube’s current and former employees (and their dependents), including, but not limited to, names, dates of birth, driver’s license numbers, and Social Security numbers (“PII”), or health insurance information, medical reference numbers, Medicare numbers, and or medical diagnosis and/or treatment information (“PHI”) which occurred from approximately January 27, 2024, to January 29, 2024 (the “Security Incident”). After learning of the Security Incident, Defendant mailed notification to persons whose PII or PHI may have been impacted by the Security Incident. Subsequently, this Action was filed asserting claims against Defendant relating to the Security Incident.

Defendant denies any wrongdoing.

3. Why is there a Settlement?

By agreeing to settle, both sides avoid the cost, disruption, and distraction of further litigation. The Class Representatives, Defendant, and their attorneys believe the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and, thus, in the best interests for Settlement Class Members. The Court

did not decide in favor of the Plaintiffs or Defendant. Full details about the proposed Settlement are found in the Settlement Agreement available at [www.\[insert\].com](http://www.[insert].com).

4. Why is this a class action?

In a class action, one or more people called a “Class Representative” sue on behalf of all people who have similar claims. All of these people together are the “Settlement Class” or “Settlement Class Members.”

5. How do I know if I am included in the Settlement?

You are included in the Settlement if Plymouth Tube identified you as being among those individuals impacted by the Security Incident, including all who were sent a notice of the Security Incident. If you are not sure whether you are included as a Settlement Class Member, or have any other questions about the Settlement, visit [www.\[insert\].com](http://www.[insert].com), call toll-free at ###-###-####, or write to [CPT Group, \[ADDRESS\]](#).

THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

6. What does this Settlement provide?

The proposed Settlement will provide the following benefits to Settlement Class Members:

Expense Reimbursement

Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses: Settlement Class Members are eligible to receive reimbursement for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, not to exceed \$4,500.00 per Settlement Class Member, including proven actual monetary losses, which may include: (i) bank fees, (ii) long distance phone charges, (iii) cell phone charges (only if charged by the minute), (iv) data charges (only if charged based on the amount of data used), (v) postage, or (vi) gasoline for local travel; and (b) fees for credit reports, credit monitoring, or other identity theft insurance products purchased between January 27, 2024 and the date of the Claims Deadline. To receive reimbursement for any of the above-referenced Documented Out-of-Pocket Loss expenses, Settlement Class Members must submit a valid and timely Claim Form, including necessary supporting documentation, to the Settlement Administrator.

Lost Time Reimbursement: Settlement Class Members are also eligible to receive reimbursement for up to four (4) hours of lost time spent dealing with the Security Incident calculated at the rate of \$22 per hour (for a total of \$88.00). Settlement Class Members may receive reimbursement for lost time if the Settlement Class Member provides a brief description of the activities engaged in, the time spent on each such activity, and an attestation on the Claim Form that the activities they performed were related to the Security Incident.

Credit Monitoring: Settlement Class Members shall be offered an opportunity to enroll in three (3) years of Credit Monitoring Services to include credit monitoring through one national reporting bureau provided through CyEx with at least \$1,000,000.00 in identity theft insurance.

Remedial Relief: Defendant has made certain security changes in response to the Security Incident and the Action. Defendant will continue those security changes and will pay for those changes separate and apart from other settlement benefits.

7. How to submit a claim?

All claims will be reviewed by the Settlement Administrator for completeness and plausibility. You must file a Claim Form to get money from the proposed Settlement. Claim Forms must be submitted online by , 2025 or postmarked no later than , 2025. You can submit an online claim or download a Claim Form at www.com, or you can call the Settlement Administrator toll-free at ###-###-#### for a Claim Form.

8. What am I giving up as part of the Settlement?

If you stay in the Settlement Class, you will be eligible to receive benefits, but you will not be able to sue Plymouth Tube, and each of its past or present parents, subsidiaries, divisions, and related or affiliated entities, and each of its respective predecessors, successors, assigns, owners, directors, shareholders, members, officers, employees, principals, agents, attorneys, insurers, and reinsurers (collectively, the “Released Parties”) regarding the claims in this case.

The Settlement Agreement, which includes all provisions about settled claims, releases, and Released Parties, is available at www.com.

The only way to keep the right to sue is to exclude yourself (*see* Question 10), otherwise you will be included in the Settlement Class, and, if the Settlement is approved, you give up the right to sue for the claims in this case.

9. Will the Class Representatives receive compensation?

Yes. The Class Representatives will receive a service award of up to \$5,000, to compensate them for their services and efforts in bringing the Action. The Court will make the final decision as to the amount, if any, to be paid to the Class Representatives.

EXCLUDE YOURSELF

10. How do I exclude myself from the Settlement?

If you do not want to be included in the Settlement, you must send a timely written request for exclusion, stating your full name, address, and telephone number. Your request for exclusion must: (a) state the name of the Action, *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308; (b) your full name and current mailing address; (c) contain your personal signature, or the signature of a person previously authorized by law, such as a trustee, guardian, or person acting under a power of attorney to act on your behalf of); and (d) the words “Request for Exclusion” or a comparable statement that you do not wish to participate in the Settlement at the top of the communication.

Your written request for exclusion must be postmarked no later than , 2025 to:

[ADDRESS]

Instructions on how to submit a request for exclusion are available at [www.\[insert\].com](http://www.[insert].com) or from the Claims Administrator by calling [###-###-####](tel:###-###-####).

If you exclude yourself, you will not be able to receive any cash benefit or credit monitoring from the Settlement, and you cannot object to the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing. You will not be legally bound by anything that happens in the Action, and you will keep your right to sue Defendant on your own for the claims that this Settlement resolves.

11. If I do not exclude myself, can I sue later?

No. If you do not exclude yourself from the Settlement, and the Settlement is approved by the Court, you forever give up the right to sue the Released Parties (listed in Question 8) for the claims this Settlement resolves.

12. What happens if I do nothing at all?

If you do nothing, you will be bound by the Settlement if the Court approves it, you will not get any money or credit monitoring services from the Settlement, you will not be able to start or proceed with a lawsuit, or be part of any other lawsuit against the Released Persons (listed in Question 8) about the settled claims in this case at any time.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

13. Do I have a lawyer in the case?

Yes. The Court has appointed STRAUSS BORRELLI PLLC (called “Settlement Class Counsel”) to represent the interests of all Settlement Class Members in this case. You will not be charged for these lawyers. If you want to be represented by your own lawyer, you may hire one at your own expense.

14. How will the lawyers be paid?

Settlement Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of combined attorneys’ fees, costs and expenses in an amount not to exceed \$160,000.00. A copy of Class Counsel’s Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, Expenses, and Service Award for Class Representative will be posted on the Settlement Website, [www.\[insert\].com](http://www.[insert].com), before the Final Approval Hearing. The Court will make the final decisions as to the amounts to be paid to Settlement Class Counsel, and may award less than the amount requested by Settlement Class Counsel.

OBJECTING TO THE SETTLEMENT

15. How do I tell the Court that I do not like the Settlement?

If you want to tell the Court that you do not agree with the proposed Settlement or some part of it, you must file an objection with the Court telling it why you do not think the Settlement should be approved.

Objections must be submitted in writing and include all the following information:

- a) the name of the Action, *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company* (Case No. 2024CH000308);
- b) your full name, current mailing address, and phone number;
- c) a statement that states with specificity your grounds for your objection, as well as any documents that support your objection;
- d) a statement as to whether your objection applies only to you, to a specific subset of the Settlement Class, or to the entire Settlement Class;
- e) the identity of any attorneys representing you;
- f) a statement regarding whether you or your attorney will to appear at the Final Approval Hearing;
- g) a list of all other lawsuits (if any) in which your attorney has submitted an objection to a class action settlement within the last three (3) years; and,
- h) your signature or your attorney's signature.

Your Objection must be postmarked no later than , 2025 at:

[ADDRESS]

In addition, you must concurrently email or mail a copy of your objection to the Settlement Administrator, postmarked no later than , 2025, to:

[ADDRESSES]

If you do not submit your objection with all the above requirements, or if your objection is not received by , 2025 you will be considered to have waived all Objections and will not be entitled to speak at the Final Approval Hearing.

16. What is the difference between objecting and asking to be excluded?

Objecting is simply telling the Court that you don't like something about the Settlement. You can object only if you stay in the Settlement Class. Excluding yourself is telling the Court that you don't want to be part of the Settlement Class. If you exclude yourself, you have no basis to object because the Settlement no longer affects you.

THE FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

17. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold the Final Approval Hearing on , [DATE, TIME, ADDRESS]. The hearing may be held remotely, or moved to a different date, time, or location without additional notice, so it is recommended that you periodically check www.com for updated information.

At the hearing, the Court will consider whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and is in the best interests of Settlement Class Members, and if it should be finally approved. If there are valid objections, the Court will consider them and will listen to people who

have asked to speak at the hearing if the request was made properly. The Court will also consider the award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to Settlement Class Counsel and the request for a Service Award to the Class Representatives.

18. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. You are not required to come to the Final Approval Hearing. However, you are welcome to attend the hearing at your own expense.

If you submit an Objection, you do not have to come to the hearing to talk about it. If your objection was submitted properly and on time, the Court will consider it. You also may pay your own lawyer to attend the Final Approval Hearing, but that is not necessary. However, you must follow the requirements for making objections in Question 15, including the requirements for making appearances at the hearing.

19. May I speak at the hearing?

Yes. You can speak at the Final Approval Hearing, but you must ask the Court for permission. You cannot speak at the hearing if you exclude yourself from the Settlement.

DO NOTHING

20. What happens if I do nothing?

If you do nothing, you will not get any money from the Settlement, you will not be able to sue for the claims in this case, and you release the claims against Defendant and the Released Persons described in Question No. 8.

GET MORE INFORMATION

21. How do I get more information about the Settlement?

This is only a summary of the proposed Settlement. If you want additional information about this Action, including a copy of the Settlement Agreement, the Complaint, the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, Settlement Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, and Service Award for Class Representative, and more, please visit www.com or call ###-###-####. You may also contact the Settlement Administrator at [\[ADDRESS\]](#).

PLEASE DO NOT ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT OR LITIGATION TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT, THE JUDGE, DEFENDANT, OR DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.

— EXHIBIT C —

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Complete this Claim Form if you are a Settlement Class Member and you wish to receive Settlement benefits.

You are a member of the Settlement Class and eligible to submit a Claim Form if:

You are an individual residing in the United States whose Personal Information may have been compromised in the Security Incident experienced by Plymouth Tube in or around January 2024, including all those who received notice of the breach.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Plymouth Tube Company, its officers, directors, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, successors or assigns, or any entity in which Plymouth Tube has a controlling interest; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to this case and their staff and immediate family; and (iv) any other person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Security Incident or who pleads nolo contendere to any such charge.

Settlement Class Members may submit a claim form for: (1) Documented Out-of-Pocket Loss Claims; (2) Lost Time calculated at the rate of \$22.00 per hour for up to four (4) hours (for a total of \$88.00); and/or (3) Three (3) years of one bureau credit monitoring.

Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses: Claims up to \$4,500.00 must be supported with documentation and includes any loss that is, (i) an actual, documented and unreimbursed monetary loss; (ii) that was more likely than not caused by the Security Incident; and (iii) was incurred after January 27, 2024, and before the end of the claim period. Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses may include losses relating to fraud or identity theft; professional fees including attorneys' fees, accountants' fees, and fees for credit repair services; costs associated with freezing or unfreezing credit with any credit reporting agency; credit monitoring costs that were incurred on or after mailing of the notice of data breach, through the date of claim submission; and miscellaneous expenses such as notary, fax, postage, copying, mileage, and long-distance telephone charges.

Credit Monitoring Services. All Settlement Class Members shall have the ability to make a claim for three (3) years of one bureau credit monitoring services and identity theft protection by choosing this benefit on this Claim Form.

Lost Time Claims must be supported by an attestation that the activities they performed were related to the Security Incident. Claims for Lost Time are subject to the \$4,500.00 cap for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses.

This Claim Form may be submitted electronically *via* the Settlement Website at [redacted] or completed and mailed, including any supporting documentation, to: [settlement admin address].

I. SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER NAME AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Provide your name and contact information below. You must notify the Settlement Administrator if your contact information changes after you submit this Claim Form.

First Name


Last Name

Street Address

Your claim must be submitted online or postmarked by: **DEADLINE**

Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company
 In the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois

CLAIM FORM



City	State	Zip Code
Email Address	Telephone Number	
Notice ID Number, if known		

II. DOCUMENTED OUT-OF-POCKET LOSS AND LOST TIME SELECTION

Check this box if you are requesting compensation for **Documented Losses** up to a total of \$4,500.00.

***You must submit supporting documentation demonstrating actual, unreimbursed monetary loss.**

Complete the chart below describing the supporting documentation you are submitting.

Description of Documentation Provided	Amount
<i>Example: Receipt for credit repair services</i>	<i>\$100</i>
TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED:	

Check this box if you spent time monitoring accounts or otherwise dealing with issues related to the Security Incident. You can submit a claim for reimbursement of \$22.00 per hour up to four (4) hours (for a total of \$88.00, subject to the \$4,500.00 cap for Documented Losses). By checking this box, you are attesting that the activities you performed were related to the Security Incident.

Indicate the number of hours spent: 1 Hour 2 Hours 3 Hours 4 Hours

III. CREDIT MONITORING SERVICES

Check this box if you wish to enroll in credit monitoring services for three (3) years, which includes credit monitoring through CyEx and \$1,000,000.00 in identity theft insurance.

QUESTIONS? VISIT **WWW. .COM** OR CALL TOLL-FREE 1-**XXX-XXX-XXXX**

Your claim must be submitted online or postmarked by: [DEADLINE]

Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company
In the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois

CLAIM FORM

IV. PAYMENT SELECTION

Please select **one** of the following payment options, which will be used should you be eligible to receive a Settlement payment:

PayPal - Enter your PayPal email address: _____

Venmo - Enter the mobile number associated with your Venmo account: ____ - ____ - ____

Zelle - Enter the mobile number or email address associated with your Zelle account:

Mobile Number: ____ - ____ - ____ or Email Address: _____

Virtual Prepaid Card - Enter your email address: _____

Physical Check - Payment will be mailed to the address provided in Section I above.

V. ATTESTATION & SIGNATURE

I swear and affirm that the information provided in this Claim Form, and any supporting documentation provided is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that my claim is subject to verification and that I may be asked to provide supplemental information by the Settlement Administrator before my claim is considered complete and valid.

Signature

Printed Name

Date

— EXHIBIT D —

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

AARON WEAVER, on behalf of himself, his
minor child, A.W., and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLYMOUTH TUBE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2024CH000308

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion for Preliminary Approval") between Aaron Weaver, individually and on behalf of his minor child, A.W. ("Plaintiffs"), and on behalf of the Settlement Class (as defined below), and Plymouth Tube Company ("Defendant" or "Plymouth Tube") (collectively the "Parties"), as set forth in the Settlement Agreement between the Parties, attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Cassandra P. Miller in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval, and the Court having duly considered the papers and arguments of counsel, the Court hereby **GRANTS** this Motion and **ORDERS** as follows:

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. Unless defined herein, all capitalized terms in this Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (“Preliminary Approval Order”) shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the Action and over all claims raised therein and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.

3. This Order is based on 735 ILCS 5/2-801-806.

4. Between January 27, 2024, and January 29, 2024, Defendant discovered a cybersecurity disruption on its computer network. Defendant launched an investigation, with the assistance of third-party cybersecurity specialists, to determine the nature and scope of the event. On or about February 29, 2024, the investigation determined that an unauthorized third-party cybercriminal may have gained access to some of Defendant’s systems, and that information contained in those systems may have been compromised by the third-party actor (the “Security Incident”). Defendant’s investigation further determined that the personally identifiable information (“PII”) and/or protected health information (“PHI”) of approximately 4,805 current and former employees (and their dependents) that it maintained on its systems may have been compromised in the Security Incident. The compromised PII and PHI in the Security Incident may have included names, dates of birth, Social Security numbers, driver’s license numbers, health insurance information, subscriber identification numbers, medical diagnoses, and medical treatment information.

5. On April 10, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint against Defendant in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and alleged six causes of action: (1) Negligence; (2) Breach of Implied Contract; (3) Invasion of Privacy; (4) Unjust Enrichment; (5)

Breach of Fiduciary Duty; and (6) Violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (“ICFA”), 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. 505/1, *et seq.*

6. Defendant has denied Plaintiffs’ allegations, causes of action, and claims.

7. On or around May 28, 2024, the Parties began discussions to pursue a resolution in this matter. In the following months, Defendant produced informal discovery to Plaintiffs’ Counsel, including information about the cause and scope of the Security Incident and information about the class size. On or around August 12, 2024, the Parties were able to reach an agreement on the principal terms of settlement for the Action, subject to final mutual agreement on all necessary documentation. Since then, the Parties continued to negotiate in good faith and at arms’ length, the finer details of the settlement and drafted and finalized the Settlement Agreement and accompanying Notice and other exhibits.

8. Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed that claim without prejudice on August 12, 2024.

9. On December 2, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their claims against Defendant related to the Data Breach Security Incident in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois.

10. Plaintiffs summarize the relevant terms of the proposed Settlement as follows:

11. Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses. Settlement Class Members are eligible for compensation for Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses, up to a total of \$4,500.00 per Settlement Class Member in compensation on submission of a valid and timely Claim Form. Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses may include, but are not limited to, unreimbursed losses relating to fraud or identity theft, professional fees including attorneys’ fees, accountants’ fees, and fees for credit repair services, costs associated with freezing or unfreezing credit with any credit reporting agency, credit monitoring costs that were incurred on or after the Security Incident through the date of claim submission, and miscellaneous expenses such as notary, fax, postage, copying,

mileage, and long-distance telephone charges. Documented Out-of-Pocket Losses shall meet the following conditions:

- a. The loss is an actual, documented, and unreimbursed monetary loss;
- b. The loss was more likely than not caused by the Security Incident;
- c. The loss was incurred after January 27, 2024; and
- d. The Settlement Class Member made reasonable efforts to avoid, or seek reimbursement for, the loss, including but not limited to exhaustion of all available credit monitoring insurance and identity theft insurance.

12. Claims for Compensation for Lost Time. Settlement Class Members are eligible for compensation for up to four (4) hours of Lost Time calculated at the rate of \$22.00 per hour (for a total of \$88.00) per claimant upon submission of a valid documented claim for Lost Time, provided they include a brief description of the activities engaged in and the time spent on each such activity and an attestation on the Claim Form that the activities they performed were related to the Security Incident.

13. Credit Monitoring. Settlement Class Members shall be offered an opportunity to enroll in three (3) years of 1 bureau Credit Monitoring Services provided through CyEx.

14. The Court finds that: (i) there is a good cause to believe that the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; (ii) the Settlement Agreement has been negotiated at arm's length between experienced attorneys familiar with the legal and factual issues of this case; and (iii) the settlement warrants Notice of its material terms to the Settlement Classes for its consideration and reaction.

CLASS CERTIFICATION FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES

15. The Court has conducted a preliminary evaluation of the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Based on this preliminary evaluation, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement meets all applicable requirements of 735 ILCS 2-801, hereby conditionally certifies the Settlement Class as follows for settlement purposes only (and for no other purposes and with no other effect upon this or any other action, including no effect upon this Action should the settlement not ultimately be approved).

Settlement Class: Shall mean all individuals residing in the United States whose Personal Information may have been compromised in the Security Incident experienced by Plymouth Tube in or around January 2024, including all those who received notice of the breach. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Defendant, its officers, directors, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, successors or assigns, or any entity in which Plymouth Tube has a controlling interest; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any judges assigned to this case and their staff and immediate family; (iv) any other person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Security Incident or who pleads *nolo contendere* to any such charge; and (v) Class Members who submit a valid Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline.

16. For the purposes of the conditional certification, the Court preliminary finds for settlement purposes only that the Settlement Class is sufficiently numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, that there are questions of law and fact common to members of the

Settlement Class that predominate, that the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class, and that class treatment is an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

17. The Settlement Agreement and the proceedings and statements made pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or papers filed relating to the Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order, are not and shall not in any event be described as, construed as, offered or received against any of the Released Entities, including Defendant, as evidence of and/or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Released Persons, including Defendant, of the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs; the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation; the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation; or any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any of the Released Persons, including Defendant. Defendant has denied and continues to deny the claims asserted by Plaintiffs. Notwithstanding, nothing contained herein shall be construed to prevent a Party to the Action from offering the Settlement Agreement into evidence for the purpose of enforcing the Settlement Agreement.

18. The certification of the Settlement Class shall be binding only with respect to the settlement of the Action. In the event that the Settlement Agreement fails to become effective, is overturned on appeal, or does not become final for any reason, the Settlement Agreement shall be null and void *ab initio*, the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as of the date of the signing of the Settlement Agreement, and no reference to the Settlement Class and/or the Settlement Agreement, or any documents, communications, or negotiations related in any way thereto shall be made for any purpose.

NOTICE AND SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

19. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, CPT Group is hereby appointed as the Settlement Administrator and shall be required to perform all of the duties of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order.

20. The forms of the Short Form Notice,¹ the Long Form Notice,² and the Claim Form,³ along with the proposed publication notice plan, are constitutionally adequate and are hereby approved. The Notice contains all essential elements required to satisfy state statutory requirements and due process under 735 ILCS 5-2/801, the United States Constitution, the Illinois Constitution, and other applicable laws. The Court further finds that the form, content, and method of providing Notice, as described in the Settlement Agreement, including the exhibits thereto: (a) constitute the best practicable Notice to the Settlement Class; (b) are reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the action, the terms of the settlement, their rights under the settlement, including, but not limited to, their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the settlement; and (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members.

21. The Notice program set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and described below, satisfies the requirements of 735 ILCS 5-2/801, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and is hereby approved.

22. The Settlement Administrator is directed to carry out the Notice program as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

¹ Attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement.

² Attached as Exhibit B to the Settlement Agreement.

³ Attached as Exhibit C to the Settlement Agreement.

23. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall provide to Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel to file with the Court an appropriate affidavit or declaration from the Settlement Administrator with respect to its compliance with the Court-approved Notice Program.

EXCLUSIONS AND OBJECTIONS

Exclusions

24. The Notice shall inform each Settlement Class Member of his or her right to request exclusion from the Settlement Class and to not be bound by this Settlement Agreement, if within the sixty (60)-day period beginning upon the Notice Deadline, the Settlement Class Member personally signs and timely submits, completes, and mails a request to be excluded from the Settlement Class ("Opt-Out Request") to the Settlement Administrator at the address set forth in the Notice. To be effective, an Opt-Out Request must be postmarked no later than the final date of the Opt-Out Period (the "Opt-Out Deadline").

25. For a Settlement Class Member's Opt-Out Request to be valid, it must (a) state the case name, *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308 (Ill. Cir. Ct. DuPage Cnty.); (b) the Settlement Class Member's full name and current address, (c) the Settlement Class Member's personal and original signature (or the personal and original signature of a Person previously authorized by law to act on behalf of the Settlement Class Member with respect to the claims asserted in the Action); and (d) the words "Request for Exclusion" or a comparable statement that the individual does not wish to participate in the Settlement.

26. All Settlement Class Members who submit timely and valid opt-out requests shall: (a) receive no benefits or compensation under the Settlement Agreement; (b) shall gain no rights from the Settlement Agreement; (c) shall not be bound by the Settlement Agreement; and (d) shall

have no right to object to the Settlement or proposed Settlement Agreement or to participate at the Final Approval Hearing. All Settlement Class Members who do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including the Release contained therein, and the Final Order and Judgment thereon, regardless of whether he or she files a Claim Form or receives any benefits from the settlement.

27. An opt-out request or other request for exclusion that does not fully comply with the requirements set forth above, or that is not timely submitted or postmarked, or that is sent to an address other than that set forth in the Notice, shall be invalid, and the person submitting such request shall be treated as a Settlement Class Member and be bound by the Settlement Agreement, including the Release contained therein, and the Final Approval Order entered thereon.

28. No person shall purport to exercise any exclusion rights of any other person, or purport: (a) to opt-out Settlement Class Members as a group, in the aggregate, or as a class involving more than one Settlement Class Member; or (b) to opt-out more than one Settlement Class Member on a single paper, or as an agent or representative. Any such purported opt-out requests shall be void, and the Settlement Class Member(s) who is or are the subject of any such purported opt-out requests shall be treated as a Settlement Class Member and be bound by the Settlement Agreement, including the Release contained herein, and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action, including the Final Approval Order, unless he or she submits a valid and timely opt-out request.

Objections

29. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to object to the Settlement Agreement must submit a timely, written notice of his or her Objection by no later than sixty (60) days from the Notice Deadline (the “Objection Deadline”).

30. To object to the settlement, a Settlement Class Member must file a timely, written notice of his or her Objection in the appropriate form with the Clerk of the Court. The Objection must also be delivered or mailed to Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel. The deadline for filing Objections shall be included in the Notice.

31. Such notice shall: (i) state the case name, *Aaron Weaver, et al. v. Plymouth Tube Company*, Case No. 2024CH000308 (Ill. Cir. Ct. DuPage Cnty.); (ii) the Settlement Class Member's full name, current mailing address and phone number; (iii) a statement of the specific grounds for the objection, as well as any documents supporting the objection; (iv) the identity of any attorney(s) representing the objector; (v) a statement regarding whether the Settlement Class Member (or his/her attorney) intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (vi) a statement identifying all class action settlements objected to by the Settlement Class Member (or his/her attorney) in the previous three (3) years; and (vii) the signature of the Settlement Class Member or the Settlement Class Member's attorney.

32. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to comply in full with the requirements for objecting set forth in this Settlement Agreement, the Notice, and any applicable orders of this Court shall forever waive and forfeit any and all rights he or she may have to raise any objection to the Settlement Agreement, shall not be permitted to object to the approval of the settlement at the Final Approval Hearing, shall be foreclosed from seeking any review of the settlement or the terms of the Settlement Agreement by appeal or other means, and shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement, including the Release contained therein, and by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Action, including the Final Order and Judgment.

33. The exclusive means for any challenge to the Settlement Agreement is through the provisions set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Any challenge to the Settlement Agreement, the

Final Approval Order, or any judgment to be entered upon final approval, shall be pursuant to appeal and not through a collateral attack. Any objecting Settlement Class Member who appeals final approval of the Settlement Agreement will be required to post an appeal bond.

APPOINTMENTS

34. For settlement purposes only, the Court hereby approves the conditional appointment of Plaintiff Aaron Weaver, individually and on behalf of his minor child, A.W. as Settlement Class Representatives for the Settlement Class.

35. For settlement purposes only, the Court hereby approves the conditional appointment of Cassandra P. Miller of Strauss Borrelli PLLC as Settlement Class Counsel and finds that she is competent and capable of exercising the responsibilities of Settlement Class Counsel.

TERMINATION

36. This Preliminary Approval Order shall become null and void and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions, if the settlement is not finally approved by the Court or is terminated in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

37. If the Settlement Agreement is terminated or not approved, or if the Effective Date does not occur for any reason, then: (i) the Settlement Agreement and all orders entered in connection with the Settlement Agreement shall be rendered null and void; (ii) the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Courts in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*; (iii) the parties shall equally be responsible for all Notice and Claims Administration Costs incurred prior to the termination or disapproval; (iv) all Parties

shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective positions and status in the Action as of the date the Settlement Agreement was executed and shall jointly request that a new case schedule be entered by the Courts in the Action; and (v) Defendant shall have no payment, reimbursement, or other financial obligation of any kind as a result of this Settlement Agreement, other than as stated in Sub-Part (iii) above.

FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

38. No later than 14 days prior to the Objection and Opt-Out Deadlines, Plaintiffs must file their papers in support of Settlement Class Counsel's application for fees, costs, and expenses and Service Awards. And no later than _____, 2025, Plaintiffs must file their papers in support of final approval of the Settlement Agreement.

39. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held before the Court on _____, 2025, at x:00 p.m. by Zoom (Meeting ID XXXXX Password XXXXXX) for the following purposes:

- a. to finally determine whether the applicable prerequisites for settlement class action treatment under 735 ILCS 5/2-801 have been met, and that the Settlement Class Representatives and Settlement Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement;
- b. to determine whether the settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be approved by the Court;
- c. to determine that Notice: (1) was implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order, (2) constitutes the best practicable notice under the circumstances, (3) constitutes notice that is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Classes of the pendency of the Action and their rights to object to or exclude themselves from

this Settlement Agreement and to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, (4) is reasonable and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and (5) fulfills the requirements of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the both the United States and Illinois Constitutions, and the rules of the Court;

- d. to determine whether the judgment as provided under the Settlement Agreement should be entered, including an order prohibiting Settlement Class Members from further pursuing claims released in the Settlement Agreement;
- e. to consider the application for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses;
- f. to consider the application for Service Awards to the Settlement Class Representatives;
- g. to consider all payments to be made pursuant to the Settlement Agreement;
- h. to dismiss the action with prejudice; and
- i. to rule upon such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

40. All proceedings in the Action other than those related to approval of the Settlement Agreement pending entry of the Final Approval Order are stayed.

41. No Settlement Class Member may prosecute, institute, commence, or continue any lawsuit (individual action or class action) with respect to the Released Claims against any of the Released Persons and any such actions are enjoined or stayed.

SUMMARY OF DEADLINES

42. The preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement shall be administered according to its terms pending the Final Approval Hearing. Deadlines arising under the Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order, include, but are not limited to:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
Class Member Information Deadline	Within 15 days of Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant will provide Settlement Administrator with Class Member Information
Notice Deadline	Within 45 days of entry of Preliminary Approval Order, Settlement Administrator shall send Notice by mail to all Settlement Class Members
Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, and Service Awards	Within 45 after the Notice Deadline
Deadline to Opt-Out/Object From Settlement	Within 60 days after the Notice Deadline
Claims Deadline	90 days after the Notice Deadline
Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement	14 days before the Final Approval Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	XX, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.

IT IS ORDERED.

Dated: _____

THE HONORABLE XXX
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

— EXHIBIT E —

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

AARON WEAVER, on behalf of himself,
his minor child, **A.W.**, and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PLYMOUTH TUBE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2024CH000308

**[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL
ORDER OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

WHEREAS, the Court, having considered the Settlement Agreement filed on _____, 2024 (the “Settlement”) between and among Aaron Weaver (“Plaintiff” or “Settlement Class Representative”), individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class (defined below); and (ii) Plymouth Tube Company (“Defendant” or “Plymouth Tube”), having considered the Court’s _____, 2024 Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement Agreement and Conditionally Certifying Settlement Class for Settlement Purposes Only (“Preliminary Approval Order”), having held a Final Approval Hearing on _____, 2024, having considered all of the submissions and arguments with respect to the Settlement, and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement Agreement and Award of Attorneys’ Fees, Costs, and Expenses, and Class Representative Service Awards is GRANTED.

2. This Order and Judgment incorporates herein and makes a part hereof, the Settlement (including its exhibits) and the Preliminary Approval Order. Unless otherwise provided herein, the

terms defined in the Settlement and Preliminary Approval Order shall have the same meanings for purposes of this Order and Judgment.

3. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members, and Defendant for purposes of this settlement, and has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter including, without limitation, jurisdiction to approve the Settlement, confirm certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, to settle and release all claims released in the Settlement, and to dismiss the Action with prejudice.

I. CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

4. Based on its review of the record, including the Settlement, all submissions in support of the Settlement, and all prior proceedings in the Action, pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801 and 2-802, the Court finally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Nationwide Class (the “Settlement Class”) for settlement purposes only:

All individuals residing in the United States whose Personal Information was compromised in the Security Incident experienced by Plymouth Tube in or around January 2024, including all those who received notice of the breach.

5. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) the judges presiding over this Action, and members of their direct families, and (2) the Defendant, their subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendant or their parents have a controlling interest, and their current or former officers and directors.

6. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those persons identified in Exhibit A hereto, each of whom submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class prior to the Opt-Out Deadline. Such persons shall not receive the benefits of the Settlement and shall not be bound by this Order and Judgment.

7. For settlement purposes only, with respect to the Settlement Class, the Court confirms that the prerequisites for a class action pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801 have been met, in

that: (1) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of fact or law common to the Settlement Class, which common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; (3) the Class Representative will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Settlement Class; and (4) the class action is an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Any objections to the Settlement have been considered and are hereby overruled.

II. NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

8. The Court finds that Notice has been given to the Settlement Class in the manner directed by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Court has determined that the Notice given to the Settlement Class Members, in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, fully and accurately informed Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the Settlement and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and fully satisfied the requirements of 735 ILCS 5/2-803, applicable law, and the Due Process Clauses of the U.S. Constitution and Illinois Constitution.

III. FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT

9. The Court finds that the Settlement resulted from arm's-length negotiations between Class Counsel and Defendant.

10. The Court hereby finally approves in all respects the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.

11. The Court finds that Plaintiff and Class Counsel fairly and adequately represented the interests of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement.

12. The Settling Parties shall consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms thereof. The Settlement, and each and every term and provision thereof, including its Releases, shall

be deemed incorporated herein as if explicitly set forth herein and shall have the full force and effect of an order of this Court.

IV. DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS AND RELEASE

13. The Action is hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all Parties including the Settlement Class and without cost to any party, except as otherwise provided herein or in the Settlement.

14. Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of the benefits set forth in the Settlement, each of the Settlement Class Representatives and Participating Settlement Class Members, and each of their respective heirs, executors, administrators, representatives, agents, partners, predecessors, successors, attorneys, assigns, and any other person purporting to assert a claim on their behalf (“Releasing Parties”), shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, release, acquit, and forever discharge Defendant and each and every of its respective predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, owners, and related or affiliated entities of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, as well as any and all of Defendant’s and these entities’ respective predecessors, successors, officers, directors, current and former employees (and their dependents), advisors, vendors, stockholders, partners, agents, attorneys, representatives, insurers, reinsurers, subrogees and assigns (“Released Parties”) from all any and all claims, liabilities, rights, demands, suits, actions, causes of action, obligations, damages, penalties, costs, attorneys’ fees, losses, and remedies of every kind or description—whether known or unknown (including Unknown Claims), existing or potential, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, liquidated or unliquidated, legal, statutory, or equitable—that relate to or arise from the Security Incident, the operative facts alleged in the Action, including the complaint and any amendment thereto, Defendant’s information security policies and practices, or Defendant’s maintenance or storage of Personal Information, regardless of whether such claims arise under

federal, state and/or local law, statute, ordinance, regulation, common law, or other source of law (the “Released Claims”), provided that nothing in this Release is intended to, does or shall be deemed to release any claims not arising out of, based upon, resulting from, or related to the Security Incident.

V. ATTORNEYS’ FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES AND REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFFS’ SERVICE AWARD

15. The Court awards attorneys’ fees, litigation costs and expenses of \$160,000.00 and payment of a service award in the amount of \$5,000.00 to the Settlement Class Representative. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to pay such amounts in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. Settlement Class Counsel, in their sole discretion to be exercised reasonably, shall allocate and distribute the attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses awarded by the Court among Plaintiffs’ counsel of record in the Action.

VI. OTHER PROVISIONS

16. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the settling Parties and the Settlement Class for the purpose of consummating, implementing, administering, and enforcing all terms of the Settlement.

17. Nothing in this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement, or any documents or statements relating thereto, is or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by Defendant.

18. In the event the Effective Date does not occur, this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, as provided in the Settlement, this Order and Judgment and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be vacated and null and void, the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action, all of the Parties’ respective pre-Settlement claims and defenses will be preserved, and the terms and

provisions of the Settlement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Settling Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

By: _____
Honorable Judge

— EXHIBIT 2 —



980 N Michigan Avenue
Suite 1610
Chicago, Illinois 60611
P: 872.263.1110
F: 872.263.1109
straussborrelli.com

Our Firm

Strauss Borrelli PLLC is a premier civil litigation team focused on representing groups of individuals who have been harmed by corporate misconduct. We regularly represent clients in cases involving data misuse, illegal telemarketing, privacy intrusion, unfair employment practices, and defective products. Our efforts have earned us a reputation for achieving success in high-stakes and complex cases across the country.

At every step, we put the interests of our clients first.

We make the courtroom accessible to all.

At Strauss Borrelli, we understand that our legal system is out of reach for most individuals who have suffered at the hands of corporate wrongdoing. Time, money, and expertise act as barriers to judicial action. We confront these obstacles by empowering those affected to take collective action to seek relief.

We innovate and adapt.

As new technologies become available, our team learns and grows to make our processes faster, more effective, and less expensive. We challenge each other to continually evolve to meet the needs of our clients in an ever-changing world.

We know that people are our greatest resource.

Whether it be within our own team or with experts, co-counsel, or clients, we foster collaborative spaces. We know that good ideas can come from anyone, and the best ideas are forged when we work together. Our experiences have shown us that fresh perspectives coupled with legal expertise create smart strategies.

We understand the strength in numbers.

Too often, corporate transgressions go unchallenged. Together, we create a check against large companies' misconduct. By combining individual claims, we hold those who put profit over people accountable and achieve relief for all those injured by wrongdoings ranging from the annoyance of daily telemarketing calls to the devastation of a sudden mass layoff.

We commit to personal connections.

At every stage, we help clients understand the complex issues at hand and empower them to take an active role in their cases. We will always take the time to build relationships with our clients in order to understand what success means to them. In defining and reaching our goals, we advise with compassion and understanding.

Our Cases

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Fowler, et al. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who were overcharged fees on FHA mortgages. The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$30,000,000 in 2018, and final approval was granted in January 2019.

Jones, et al. v. Monsanto Company (W.D. Mo.)

Filed on behalf of individuals who purchased mislabeled RoundUp® products. The case settled on a class-wide basis in 2020 for \$39,550,000. Final approval was granted in May 2021 and the case is currently on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eight Circuit.

Crawford, et al. v. FCA US LLC (E.D. Mich.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who purchased or leased Dodge Ram 1500 and 1500 Classic vehicles equipped with 3.0L EcoDiesel engines between 2013 and 2019. Plaintiffs allege unfair, deceptive, and fraudulent practices in the Defendants' marketing and sale of vehicles with allegedly defective EGR coolers. This case is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

In re: Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep EcoDiesel Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of consumers against Fiat Chrysler and Bosch alleging unfair, deceptive, and fraudulent practices in the Defendants' marketing and sale of certain EcoDiesel vehicles. The class contained over 100,000 vehicles, including 2014-2016 model-year Jeep Grand Cherokees and Dodge Ram 1500 trucks that were allegedly outfitted with devices that masked actual emission levels. The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$307,500,000, and final approval was granted in May 2019.

Rolland, et al. v. Spark Energy, LLC (D.N.J.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who were forced to pay considerably more for their electricity than they should otherwise have paid due to Spark Energy's deceptive pricing practices. Plaintiff alleges that Spark Energy engages in a bait-and-switch deceptive marketing scheme luring consumers to switch utility companies by offering lower than local utility rates. These lower rates are fixed for only a limited number of months and then switch to a variable market rate that is significantly

higher than the rates local utilities charge. The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$11,000,000 in 2022, and final approval was granted in December 2022.

Haines v. Washington Trust Bank (Wash. Sup. Ct., King Cty.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented consumers who were charged \$35 overdraft fees by Washington Trust Bank on accounts that were never actually overdrawn. Plaintiff filed suit against Washington Trust Bank for the unfair and unlawful assessment of these overdraft fees. This case settled on a class-wide basis in 2021, and final approval was granted in November 2021.

Pryor v. Eastern Bank (Mass. Sup. Ct., Suffolk Cty.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented consumers who were charged \$35 overdraft fees by Eastern Bank on accounts that were never actually overdrawn. Plaintiff filed suit against Eastern Bank for the unfair and unlawful assessment of these overdraft fees. This case settled on a class-wide basis in 2021, and final approval was granted in March 2021.

Benanav, et al. v. Healthy Paws Pet Insurance LLC (W.D. Wash.)

Strauss Borrelli represents consumers who were deceived by Healthy Paws Pet Insurance, an insurance provider that markets and administers pet insurance policies, regarding the true cost of its pet insurance policies. Plaintiffs allege that purchasers of Healthy Paws Pet Insurance's policies found that their policy premiums increased drastically from year to year, at a rate far outpacing the general costs of veterinary medicine, despite Healthy Paws Pet Insurance's representations to the contrary. This case is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.

DATA BREACH

Walters v. Kimpton Hotel & Restaurant Group, LLP (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of consumers whose private information and personal identifiable information, including credit and debit card numbers, names, mailing addresses, and other personal information, was compromised and stolen from Kimpton Hotel & Restaurant Group by hackers. The case settled on a class-wide basis in 2018, and final approval was granted in July 2019.

Reetz v. Advocate Aurora Health, Inc. (Wis. Cir. Ct., Milwaukee Cty.)

Filed on behalf of employees of Aurora Advocate Health, the 10th largest not-for-profit integrated health care system in the United States, whose personally identifiable information was breached and stolen through an email phishing campaign beginning in January 2020. Many of these individuals have lost time

and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case settled in 2023.

Goetz v. Benefit Recovery Specialists, Inc. (Wis. Cir. Ct., Walworth Cty.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of consumers whose personal health information was compromised and stolen from Benefit Recovery Specialists, Inc., a Houston-based billing and collections services firm that provides billing and collection services to healthcare providers across the country. Many of these consumers have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case settled on a class-wide basis in 2022 and final approval was granted in July 2022.

In re BJC Healthcare Data Breach Litigation (Mo. Cir. Ct., St. Louis Cty.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of consumers whose personal health information was compromised and stolen from BJC Healthcare, a major regional health system. Many of these consumers lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case settled on a class-wide basis in 2021 and final approval was granted in September 2022.

Daum, et al. v. K & B Surgical Center, LLC (Cal. Sup. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of consumers whose personal health information and protected health information was compromised and stolen from K & B Surgical Center. Many of these consumers have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. The case settled in 2023.

In re: Netgain Technology, LLC, Consumer Data Breach Litigation (D. Minn.)

Filed on behalf of consumers whose personal identifiable information and protected health information was breached and stolen from Netgain Technology, LLC beginning in September 2020. Strauss Borrelli partner, Raina Borrelli, serves as a member of the Plaintiffs' Interim Executive Committee in this multidistrict litigation. Many of the individuals impacted by the breach have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case is currently pending in The United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Dusterhoff, et al. v. OneTouchPoint Corp. (E.D. Wisc.)

Filed on behalf of 2.6 million consumers whose personal identifiable information and protected health information was breached and stolen from OneTouchPoint Corp., a mailing and printing services vendor, beginning in April 2022. Strauss

Borrelli partner, Raina Borrelli, serves as a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in this litigation. Many of the individuals impacted by the breach have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case is currently pending in The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

In re Lincare Holdings Inc. Data Breach Litigation (M.D. Fla.)

Filed on behalf of consumers whose personal identifiable information and protected health information was breached and stolen from Lincare Holdings Inc., a medical products and services provider, beginning in September 2021. Strauss Borrelli partner, Raina Borrelli, serves as a member of the Interim Executive Leadership Committee for plaintiffs and the class in this multidistrict litigation. Many of the individuals impacted by the breach have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case is currently pending in The United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

Forslund, et al. v. R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company (N.D. Ill.)

Filed on behalf of consumers whose personal identifiable information was breached and stolen from R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company, a Fortune 500 marketing, packaging, and printing company, beginning in November 2021. Strauss Borrelli partner, Raina Borrelli, serves as interim co-lead counsel for plaintiffs and the class in this litigation. Many of the individuals impacted by the breach have lost time and money responding to the data breach and they face an ongoing risk of identity theft, identity fraud, or other harm. This case is currently pending in The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

DATA PRIVACY

Patterson v. Respondus, Inc., et al. (N.D. Ill.)

Filed on behalf of all persons who took an exam using Respondus' online exam proctoring software, Respondus Monitor, in the state of Illinois. Plaintiffs allege that Respondus collects, uses, and discloses students' biometric identifiers and biometric information in violation of Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act. This case is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Powell v. DePaul University (N.D. Ill.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of DePaul University students located in the state of Illinois who were required to take exams using Respondus Monitor, which collects, uses, and discloses students' biometric identifiers and biometric

information in violation of Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act. Plaintiff alleged that DePaul University collects students' biometric identifiers and biometric information without written consent and without legally compliant written public policies. This case settled in 2023.

Fee v. Illinois Institute of Technology (N.D. Ill.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of Illinois Institute of Technology students located in the state of Illinois who were required to take exams using Respondus Monitor, which collects, uses, and discloses students' biometric identifiers and biometric information in violation of Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act. Plaintiff alleged that Illinois Institute of Technology collects students' biometric identifiers and biometric information without written consent and without legally compliant written public policies. This case settled in 2023.

Harvey v. Resurrection University (N.D. Ill.)

Strauss Borrelli attorneys represented a class of Resurrection University students located in the state of Illinois who were required to take exams using Respondus Monitor, which collects, uses, and discloses students' biometric identifiers and biometric information in violation of Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act. Plaintiff alleged that Resurrection University collects students' biometric identifiers and biometric information without written consent and without legally compliant written public policies. This case settled in 2023.

RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

Abraham, et al. v. PeopleConnect, Inc., et al. (N.D. California)

Filed on behalf of California residents against PeopleConnect alleging violations of California law that recognizes the intellectual property and privacy rights of individuals to control the commercial use of their names and likenesses. Plaintiffs allege that PeopleConnect violates these legal rights by using California residents' names and childhood photographs in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website, classmates.com. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Boshears, et al. v. PeopleConnect, Inc., et al. (W.D. Wash.)

Filed on behalf of Indiana residents against PeopleConnect alleging violations of Indiana's Right of Publicity Statute and Indiana's common law prohibiting misappropriation of a name or likeness. Plaintiffs allege that PeopleConnect violates these legal rights by using Indiana residents' personalities, including their names and childhood photographs, in advertisements promoting paid

subscriptions to its website, classmates.com. The case is currently on appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Loendorf v. PeopleConnect, Inc., et al. (N.D. Ill.)

Mackey v. PeopleConnect, Inc., et al. (N.D. Ill.)

Both actions were filed on behalf of Illinois residents against PeopleConnect alleging violations of Illinois' Right of Publicity Act and Illinois common law prohibiting unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs allege that PeopleConnect violates these legal rights by using Illinois residents' names, personas, and personal information in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website, classmates.com, and unlawfully profiting from it. The cases are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Sessa, et al. v. Ancestry.com Operations Inc., et al. (D. Nev.)

Filed on behalf of Nevada residents against Ancestry.com alleging violations of Nevada's right to publicity statute, Nevada law prohibiting deceptive trade practice, Nevada common law protection against Intrusion upon Seclusion, and Nevada Unjust Enrichment law. Plaintiffs allege that Ancestry.com violates these legal rights by knowingly misappropriating the photographs, likenesses, names, and identities of Nevada residents for the commercial purpose of selling access to and advertising them in Ancestry.com products and services without their prior consent. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

Braundmeier v. Ancestry.com Operations, Inc., et al. (N.D. Ill.)

Filed on behalf of Illinois residents against Ancestry.com alleging violations of Illinois' Right of Publicity Act and Illinois common law prohibiting unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs allege that Ancestry.com violates these legal rights by knowingly misappropriating the photographs, likenesses, names, and identities of Illinois residents for the commercial purpose of selling access to and advertising them in Ancestry.com products and services without their prior consent. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Spindler v. Seamless Contacts Inc. (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of California residents against Seamless Contacts Inc. alleging violations of California law that recognizes the intellectual property and privacy rights of individuals to control the commercial use of their names and likenesses. Plaintiffs allege that Seamless Contacts violates these legal rights by using California residents' names, likenesses, photographs, and personas in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website, seamless.ai. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Martinez v. ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. (W.D. Wash.)

Filed on behalf of California residents against ZoomInfo Technologies Inc. alleging violations of California law that recognizes the intellectual property and privacy rights of individuals to control the commercial use of their names and likenesses. Plaintiffs allege that ZoomInfo Technologies violates these legal rights by using California residents' names and person information in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website, zoominfo.com, as well as selling access to their names and personal information as part of its products. The case is currently on appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Gbeintor v. DemandBase, Inc., et al. (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of California residents against DemandBase, Inc. and InsideView Technologies, Inc. alleging violations of California law that recognizes the intellectual property and privacy rights of individuals to control the commercial use of their names and likenesses. Plaintiffs allege that DemandBase and InsideView Technologies violate these legal rights by using California residents' names, likenesses, photographs, and personas in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website, insideview.com, without their consent. The case is currently on appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Kellman, et al. v. Spokeo, Inc. (N.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of California residents against Spokeo, Inc. alleging violations of California law that recognizes the intellectual property and privacy rights of individuals to control the commercial use of their names and likenesses. Plaintiffs allege that Spokeo violates these legal rights by using California residents' names, likenesses, photographs, and personas in advertisements promoting paid subscriptions to its website without their consent. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

Evans v. American Power & Gas, LLC, et al. (S.D. Ohio)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated solicitation telephone calls on their cellular telephones without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$6,000,000, and final approval was granted in May 2019.

Murray, et al. v. Grocery Delivery E-Services USA Inc. d/b/a Hello Fresh (D. Mass.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated solicitation telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$14,000,000 in 2020. Final approval was granted in October 2021 and the case is currently on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Baldwin, et al. v. Miracle-Ear, Inc., et al. (D. Minn.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated or prerecorded telemarketing telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* The case settled on a class-wide basis for \$8,000,000 in 2021 and final approval was granted in October 2022.

Goodell, et al. v. Van Tuyl Group, LLC (D. Az.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated solicitation telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* This case settled in 2023.

Doup v. Van Tuyl Group, LLC (N.D. Tex.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received solicitation telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry, without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* This case settled in 2023.

Dickson v. Direct Energy, LP, et al. (N.D. Ohio)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated or prerecorded telemarketing telephone calls on their cellular telephones without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* This case is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

Learned, et al. v. McClatchy Company, LLC (E.D. Cal.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received solicitation telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry and/or who requested Defendant stop calling them, without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* This case settled in 2023.

Rogers, et al. v. Assurance IQ, LLC, et al. (W.D. Wash.)

Filed on behalf of consumers who received automated solicitation telephone calls on their cellular and residential telephones, some that were listed on the National Do-Not-Call Registry, without their prior express consent within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* This case is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.

Our Professionals

SAMUEL J. STRAUSS

Samuel J. Strauss is a founding member of Strauss Borrelli PLLC. Mr. Strauss concentrates his practice in class action litigation with an emphasis on consumer protection and privacy issues. Mr. Strauss has a national practice and appears in federal courts across the country. Over the course of his career, Mr. Strauss has represented plaintiffs in cases which have resulted in the recovery of hundreds of millions of dollars for consumers.

Mr. Strauss received his J.D. with honors from the University of Washington School of Law in 2013. Prior to forming Strauss Borrelli in 2024, Mr. Strauss was a founding member of Turke & Strauss in 2016, in Madison, Wisconsin, where he successfully prosecuted complex class actions in federal and state courts.

Mr. Strauss is a member of bars of the states of Washington, Wisconsin, and Illinois and has been admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington, United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

In recent years, Mr. Strauss has been actively involved in a number of complex class action matters in state and federal courts including:

- *Daum, et al. v. K & B Surgical Center, LLC*, No. 21STCV41347 (Cal. Sup. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.)
- *Reetz v. Advocate Aurora Health, Inc.*, No. 20CV2361 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Branch 22, Milwaukee Cty.)
- *Goetz v. Benefit Recovery Specialists, Inc.*, No. 2020CV000550 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Walworth Cty.)
- *Joyner v. Behavioral Health Network, Inc.*, No. 2079CV00629 (Mass. Sup. Ct., Hampden Cty.)
- *In re BJC Healthcare Data Breach Litigation*, No. 2022-CC09492 (Mo. Cir. Ct., St. Louis City)
- *Baldwin, et al. v. National Western Life Insurance Company*, No. 2:21-cv-04066 (W.D. Mo.)

- *Pryor v. Eastern Bank*, No. 1984CV03467-BLS1 (Mass. Sup. Ct., Suffolk Cty.)
- *Murray v. Grocery Delivery E-Services USA Inc. d/b/a Hello Fresh*, No. 19-cv-12608 (D. Mass.)
- *Baldwin v. Miracle-Ear, Inc.*, No. 20-cv-01502 (D. Minn.)
- *Goodell v. Van Tuyl Group, LLC*, No. 20-cv-01657 (D. Az.)
- *Weister v. Vantage Point AI, LLC*, No. 21-cv-01250 (M.D. Fla.)
- *Lang v. Colonial Penn Life Insurance Company*, No. 21-cv-00165 (N.D. Fla.)
- *Mackey v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-00342 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Sessa v. Ancestry.com Operations Inc., et al.*, No. 2:20-cv-02292 (D. Nev.)
- *Boshears v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-01222 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Braundmeier v. Ancestry.com Operations, Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-07390 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Martinez v. ZoomInfo Technologies Inc.*, No. 21-cv-05725 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Uhhariet v. MyLife.com, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-08229 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kellman v. Spokeo, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-08976 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Patterson v. Respondus, Inc.*, No. 20-cv-07692 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Bridges v. Respondus, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-01785 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Hudock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.*, No. 16-cv-1220 (D. Minn.)
- *Crawford v. FCA US LLC*, No. 20-cv-12341 (E.D. Mich.)
- *Klaehn, et al. v. Cali Bamboo, LLC*, No. 19-cv-01498 (S.D. Cal.)
- *Jones v. Monsanto Company*, No. 19-cv-00102 (W.D. Mo.)
- *Dickson v. Direct Energy, LP, et al.*, No. 18-cv-00182 (N.D. Ohio)
- *Rolland v. Spark Energy, LLC*, Case. No. 17-cv-02680 (D.N.J.)
- *Evans v. American Power & Gas, LLC*, No. 17-cv-00515 (S.D. Ohio)
- *Fowler v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 17-cv-02092 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Wilkins v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A., et al.*, No. 14-cv-00190 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Ott v. Mortgage Investors Corporation*, No. 14-cv-00645 (D. Or)
- *Booth v. AppStack, et al.*, No. 13-cv-01533 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Melito v. American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02440-VEC (S.D.N.Y.)
- *Spencer v. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.*, No. 14-2-30110-3 SEA (Wa. Sup. Ct., King Cty.)

RAINA C. BORRELLI

Raina C. Borrelli is a founding member of Strauss Borrelli PLLC. Ms. Borrelli's practice focuses on complex class action litigation, including data privacy, Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), false advertising, and consumer protection cases in both state and federal courts around the country. Ms. Borrelli has served as lead, co-lead, and class counsel in numerous national class actions, including multi-district litigation. Additionally, Ms. Borrelli has substantial experience leading discovery teams in these complex class action matters, as well as in working with class damages experts and class damages models in consumer protection cases.

Ms. Borrelli received her J.D. *magna cum laude* from the University of Minnesota Law School in 2011. Prior to founding Strauss Borrelli, Ms. Borrelli was a partner at Gustafson Gluek, where she successfully prosecuted complex class actions in federal and state courts. Ms. Borrelli is an active member of the Minnesota Women's Lawyers and the Federal Bar Association, where she has assisted in the representation of *pro se* litigants through the *Pro Se* Project. Ms. Borrelli has repeatedly been named to the annual Minnesota "Rising Star" Super Lawyers list (2014-2021) by SuperLawyers Magazine. She has also been repeatedly certified as a North Star Lawyer by the Minnesota State Bar Association (2012-2015; 2018-2020) for providing a minimum of 50 hours of pro bono legal services.

Ms. Borrelli is a member of the Minnesota State Bar Association and has been admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

In recent years, Ms. Borrelli has been appointed to leadership positions in a number of data privacy cases, including *In re Netgain Technology, LLC Consumer Data Breach Litigation*, No. 21-cv-01210 (D. Minn.) (Interim Executive Committee); *Dusterhoff, et al. v. OneTouchPoint Corp.*, No. 2:22-cv-00882 (E.D. Wisc.) (Plaintiffs' Steering Committee); *In re Lincare Holdings Inc. Data Breach Litigation*, No. 8:22-cv-01472 (M.D. Fl.) (Interim Executive Leadership Committee); *Forslund v. R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company*, No. 1:22-cv-04260 (N.D. Ill.) (interim co-lead counsel); *Medina v. PracticeMax Incorporated*, No. 2:22-cv-0126 (D. Az.) (Executive Leadership Committee); *In re C.R. England, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:22-cv-00374 (interim co-lead counsel); *Doe, et al. v. Knox College, Inc.*, No. 4:23-cv-04012 (C.D. Ill.) (co-lead counsel); and *In re OakBend Medical Center Data*

Breach Litigation, No. 4:22-cv-03740 (S.D. Tex.) (interim co-lead counsel). Ms. Borrelli has been substantially involved in a number of complex class action matters in state and federal courts including:

- *Daum, et al. v. K & B Surgical Center, LLC*, No. 21STCV41347 (Cal. Sup. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.)
- *Grogan v. McGrath RentCorp*, No. 3:22-cv-00490 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Benedetto, et al. v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority*, No. 210201425 (C.C.P. Phila.)
- *Reetz v. Advocate Aurora Health, Inc.*, No. 20CV2361 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Branch 22, Milwaukee Cty.)
- *Goetz v. Benefit Recovery Specialists, Inc.*, No. 2020CV000550 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Walworth Cty.)
- *Reese v. Teen Challenge Training Center, Inc.*, No. 00093 (C.C.P. Phila.)
- *Lhota v. Michigan Avenue Immediate Care, S.C.*, No. 2022CH06616 (Ill. Cir. Ct., Cook Cty.)
- *Johnson, et al. v. Yuma Regional Medical Center*, No. 2:22-cv-01061 (D. Az.)
- *Baldwin v. Miracle-Ear, Inc.*, No. 20-cv-01502 (D. Minn.)
- *Murray, et al. v. Grocery Delivery E-Services USA Inc. d/b/a Hello Fresh*, No. 1:19-cv-12608 (D. Mass.)
- *Goodell v. Van Tuyl Group, LLC*, No. 20-cv-01657 (D. Az.)
- *Learned, et al. v. McClatchy Company LLC*, No. 2:21-cv-01960 (E.D. Cal.)
- *Lang v. Colonial Penn Life Insurance Company*, No. 21-cv-00165 (N.D. Fla.)
- *Martinez v. ZoomInfo Technologies Inc.*, No. 21-cv-05725 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Abraham, et al. v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 3:20-cv-09203 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Boshears v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-01222 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Mackey v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-00342 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Sessa v. Ancestry.com Operations Inc., et al.*, No. 2:20-cv-02292 (D. Nev.)
- *Braundmeier v. Ancestry.com Operations, Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-07390 (N.D. Ill.)
- *DeBose v. Dun & Bradstreet Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2:22-cv-00209 (D.N.J.)
- *Gbeintor, et al. v. DemandBase, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:21-cv-09470 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Spindler v. Seamless Contacts Inc.*, No. 4:22-cv-00787 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kellman, et al. v. Spokeo, Inc.*, No. 3:21-cv-08976 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Brown v. Coty, Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-02696 (S.D.N.Y.)
- *Benanav v. Healthy Paws Pet Insurance LLC*, No. 2:20-cv-00421 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Spindler, et al. v. General Motors LLC*, No. 3:21-cv-09311 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Hudock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.*, No. 16-cv-1220 (JRT/KMM) (D. Minn.)
- *Patterson v. Respondus, Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-07692 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Powell v. DePaul University*, No. 1:21-cv-03001 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Fee v. Illinois Institute of Technology*, No. 1:21-cv-02512 (N.D. Ill.)

- *Harvey v. Resurrection University*, No. 1:21-cv-03203 (N.D. Ill.)
- *In re FCA Monostable Gearshifts Litig.*, No. 16-md-02744 (E.D. Mich.)
- *Zeiger v. WellPet LLC*, No. 17-cv-04056 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Wyoming v. Procter & Gamble*, No. 15-cv-2101 (D. Minn.)
- *In re Big Heart Pet Brands Litig.*, No. 18-cv-00861 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Sullivan v. Fluidmaster*, No. 14-cv-05696 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Rice v. Electrolux Home Prod., Inc.*, No. 15-cv-00371 (M.D. Pa.)
- *Gorzynski v. Electrolux Home Products, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-10661 (D.N.J.)
- *Reitman v. Champion Petfoods*, No. 18-cv-1736 (C.D. Cal.)
- *Reynolds, et al., v. FCA US, LLC*, No. 19-cv-11745 (E.D. Mich.).

CASSANDRA MILLER

Cassandra Miller is a partner at Strauss Borrelli PLLC whose practice focuses on complex class action litigation, including consumer protection, privacy, data breaches, and product liability. Ms. Miller is adept at navigating the intricate legal landscapes of both state and federal courts across the nation. Additionally, Ms. Borrelli has substantial experience leading teams in these complex class action matters.

Ms. Miller received her J.D. *magna cum laude* from the University of Illinois Chicago School of Law in 2006. Prior to joining Strauss Borrelli, Ms. Miller was a managing partner at Edelman Combs Lattuner & Goodwin, LLC. There, Ms. Miller handled a wide range of consumer protection claims under key statutes such as the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), and Truth in Lending Act (TILA), as well as the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Practices Act (ICFA), alongside related state and federal consumer statutes.

Ms. Miller is a member of the Illinois State Bar Association and has been admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Ms. Miller has been substantially involved in a number of complex class action matters in state and federal courts including:

- *Pietras v. Sentry*, 513 F. Supp. 2d 983 (N.D. Ill. 2007)
- *Hernandez v. Midland Credit Mgmt.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16054 (N.D. Ill. 2007)
- *Balogun v. Midland Credit Mgmt.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 74845 (S.D. Ind. 2007)
- *Miller v. Midland Credit Mgmt.*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18518 (N.D. Ill. 2009)
- *American Family Mutual Ins. Co. V. CMA Mortgage, Inc.*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30233 (S.D. Ind. 2008)
- *Herkert v. MRC Receivables Corp.*, 254 F.R.D. 344 (N.D. Ill. 2008)
- *Walker v. Calusa Investments, LLC*, 244 F.R.D. 502 (S.D. Ind. 2007)
- *Frydman v. Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69502 (N.D. Ill. 2011)
- *Webb v. Midland Credit Mgmt.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80006 (N.D. Ill. May 31,

2012)

- *Tabiti v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5932 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 17, 2017), reconsideration denied, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 238583 (N.D. Ill., May 16, 2017)
- *Wheeler v. Midland Funding LLC*, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52409 (N.D. Ill. July 31, 2017),
- *Magee v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 61389 (N.D. Ill. May 9, 2016), reconsideration denied, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123573 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 13, 2016)

BRITTANY RESCH

Brittany Resch is a partner at Strauss Borrelli PLLC. Ms. Resch's practice focuses on complex class action litigation, including data breach, privacy, Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), false advertising, and consumer protection cases in both state and federal courts around the country. Since 2022, Ms. Resch has served as an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota Law School teaching a seminar on e-Discovery.

Ms. Resch received her J.D. from the University of Minnesota Law School in 2015, after which she clerked for the Honorable Richard H. Kyle, Senior United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota. Prior to joining Strauss Borrelli PLLC, Ms. Resch was an associate at Gustafson Gluek, where she prosecuted complex antitrust, consumer protection, and civil rights class actions in federal and state courts. Ms. Resch was named one of the Attorneys of the Year in 2019 by Minnesota Lawyer for her work representing a pro se litigant in federal court through the Pro Se Project. Ms. Resch was also named a Rising Star in 2020 and 2021 and a 2021 Up & Coming Attorney by Minnesota Lawyer.

Ms. Resch has been an active member in the Federal Bar Association for a decade, holding various leadership and committee positions. Ms. Resch also assists in the representation of pro se litigants through the District of Minnesota Federal Bar Association's Pro Se Project. Ms. Resch is also an active member of Minnesota Women Lawyers. Ms. Resch has also been certified as a North Star Lawyer by the Minnesota State Bar Association for providing a minimum of 50 hours of pro bono legal services (2023, 2021, 2020, 2019).

Ms. Resch is a member of the Minnesota State Bar Association and has been admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Ms. Resch recently has significant experience in data privacy litigation and is currently litigating more than fifty data breach cases in courts around the country as counsel on behalf of millions of data breach victims, including *McKittrick v. Allwell Behavioral Health Services*, Case No. CH-2022-0174 (Muskingum County, Ohio) (appointed class counsel for settlement purposes); *Hall v. Centerspace, LP*, Case No. 22-cv-2028 (D. Minn.); *Morrison v. Entrust Corp., et al.*, Case No. 23-cv-415 (D. Minn.); *Batchelor v. MacMillan, et al.*, Case No. 157072/2023 (New York County, NY); *Tribbia, et al., v. Hanchett Paper Company*, Case No. 2022 CH 3677 (Cook County, IL); *Benedetto v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation*

Authority, No. 210201425 (C.C.P. Phila.); *Corra, et al. v. ACTS Retirement Services, Inc.*, No. 2:22-cv-02917 (E.D. Pa.); *Lamie, et al. v. LendingTree, LLC*, No. 3:22-cv-00307 (W.D.N.C); and *In re Lincare Holdings Inc. Data Breach Litigation*, No. 8:22-cv-01472 (M.D. Fl.). Additionally, in recent years, Ms. Resch has been substantially involved in a number of complex class action matters in state and federal courts including:

- *Emmrich v. General Motors LLC*, No. 21-cv-05990 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Spindler v. General Motors LLC*, No. 21-cv-09311 (N.D. Cal.)
- *DeBose v. Dun & Bradstreet Holdings, Inc.*, No. 2:22-cv-00209 (D.N.J.)
- *Gbeintor, et al. v. DemandBase, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:21-cv-09470 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kellman, et al. v. Spokeo, Inc.*, No. 3:21-cv-08976 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kis v. Cognism Inc.*, No. 4:22-cv-05322 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Benanav, et al. v. Healthy Paws Pet Insurance, LLC*, No. 2:20-cv-00421-RSM (W.D. Wash.)
- *Martinez v. ZoomInfo Technologies Inc.*, No. 21-cv-05725 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Abraham, et al. v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 3:20-cv-09203 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Boshears v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-01222 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Mackey v. PeopleConnect, Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-00342 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Sessa v. Ancestry.com Operations Inc., et al.*, No. 2:20-cv-02292 (D. Nev.)
- *Braundmeier v. Ancestry.com Operations, Inc.*, No. 1:20-cv-07390 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Spindler v. Seamless Contacts Inc.*, No. 4:22-cv-00787 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Uhhariet v. MyLife.com, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-08229 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Patterson v. Respondus University, et al.*, No. 1:20-cv-07692 (N.D. Ill.)
- *Bridges v. Respondus University, et al.*, No. 1:21-cv-01785 (N.D. Ill.)
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-cv-08637 (N.D. Ill.)
- *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation*, No. 21-md-02998 (D. Minn.)
- *Hudock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.*, No. 16-cv-1220 (JRT/KMM) (D. Minn.)
- *In re Asacol Antitrust Litigation*, No. 15-cv-12730 (D. Mass.)

ALEX S. PHILLIPS

Alex Phillips is a partner at Strauss Borrelli PLLC. Mr. Phillips concentrates his practice in complex class action litigation and commercial litigation. He has represented both plaintiffs and defendants in high stakes litigation. Mr. Phillips has successfully obtained trial verdicts on behalf of his clients as well as negotiated numerous high-value settlements.

Mr. Phillips received his J.D. from the University of Wisconsin School of Law in 2017 and has been an active member of the Wisconsin State Bar as well as the Dane, Jefferson, and Dodge County Bar Associations.

In recent years, Mr. Phillips has been involved in a number of complex class action matters in state and federal courts including:

- *Benedetto v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority*, No. 210201425 (C.C.P. Phila.)
- *Grogan v. McGrath RentCorp*, No. 3:22-cv-00490 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Koeller, et al. v. Numrich Gun Parts Corporation*, No. 1:22-cv-00675 (S.D.N.Y.)
- *Mayhood v. Wilkins Recreational Vehicles, Inc.*, No. E2022-0701 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Steuben Cty.)
- *Perkins v. WelldyneRx, LLC*, No. 8:22-cv-02051 (M.D. Fla.)
- *Batis v. Dun & Bradstreet Holdings, Inc.*, No. 3:22-cv-09124 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Sessa v. Ancestry.com Operations Inc., et al.*, No. 2:20-cv-02292 (D. Nev.)
- *Ambramson v. First American Home Warranty Corporation*, No. 2:22-cv-01003 (W.D. Pa.)
- *DeVivo v. Sovereign Lending Group Incorporated*, No. 3:22-cv-05254 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Murray, et al. v. Grocery Delivery E-Services USA Inc. d/b/a Hello Fresh*, No. 1:19-cv-12608 (D. Mass.)
- *Spindler v. General Motors LLC*, No. 21-cv-09311 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Kellman v. Spokeo, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-08976 (N.D. Cal.)
- *Reetz v. Advocate Aurora Health, Inc.*, No. 20CV2361 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Branch 22, Milwaukee Cty.)
- *Goetz v. Benefit Recovery Specialists, Inc.*, No. 2020CV000550 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Walworth Cty.)
- *Hudock v. LG Electronics USA, Inc.*, No. 16-cv-1220 (D. Minn.)
- *Dickson v. Direct Energy, LP, et al.*, No. 18-cv-00182 (N.D. Ohio)
- *Benanav. v. Healthy Paws Pet Insurance, LLC*, No. 20-cv-00421 (W.D. Wash.)
- *Klaehn, et al. v. Cali Bamboo, LLC, et al.*, No. 19-cv-01498 (S.D. Cal.)